The histone H4K20 methyltransferase SUV4-20H1/KMT5B is required for multiciliated cell differentiation in Xenopus
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H4 lysine 20 dimethylation (H4K20me2) is the most abundant histone modification in vertebrate chromatin. It arises from sequential methylation of unmodified histone H4 proteins by the mono-methylating enzyme PR-SET7/KMT5A, followed by conversion to the dimethylated state by SUV4-20H (KMT5B/C) enzymes. We have blocked the deposition of this mark by depleting Xenopus embryos of SUV4-20H1/H2 methyltransferases. In the larval epidermis, this results in a severe loss of cilia in multiciliated cells (MCC), a key component of mucociliary epithelia. MCC precursor cells are correctly specified, amplify centrioles, but ultimately fail in ciliogenesis because of the perturbation of cytoplasmic processes. Genome-wide transcriptome profiling reveals that SUV4-20H1/H2-depleted ectodermal explants preferentially down-regulate the expression of several hundred ciliogenic genes. Further analysis demonstrated that knockdown of H4K20me1 alone is sufficient to generate the MCC phenotype and that its catalytic activity is needed for axoneme formation. Overexpression of the H4K20me1-specific histone demethylase PHF8/KDM7B also rescues the ciliogenic defect in a significant manner. Taken together, this indicates that the conversion of H4K20me1 to H4K20me2 by SUV4-20H1 is critical for the formation of cilia tufts.

DOI 10.26508/lsa.202302023 | Received 6 March 2023 | Revised 18 April 2023 | Accepted 18 April 2023 | Published online 28 April 2023

Introduction

Methylation of histone residues is a major means by which the epigenetic regulation of gene expression is achieved. For instance, in higher eukaryotes, trimethylation of histones at H3K9, H3K27, and H4K20 represent a unique case, because these marks are written in replication origins (Shoaib et al, 2018), maintenance of genome integrity (Oda et al, 2009), and chromosome condensation (Beck et al, 2012a). H4K20 methylation states have distinct functional connotations. In mammals, H4K20me1 has demonstrated roles in the firing of replication origins (Shoaib et al, 2018), maintenance of genome integrity (Oda et al, 2009), and chromosome condensation (Beck et al, 2012a). Its role in transcriptional regulation appears to be
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context dependent. H4K20me1 contributes to the down-regulation of X-linked genes during dosage compensation in C. elegans (Brejc et al, 2017) and down-regulates genes associated with cytoskeleton organization and cell adhesion in mammals (Asensio-Juan et al, 2012). Other reports link it to gene activation during canonical WNT- and IFN-β-signaling (Li et al, 2011; Shoshani et al, 2014; Asensio-Juan et al, 2017; Zhou et al, 2017). The premature conversion of H4K20me1 into H4K20me2 during the G2/M phase of the cell cycle leads to strong mitotic defects. Functions for H4K20me2 have remained elusive because of its ubiquitous presence (Jorgensen et al, 2013). H4K20me3 is a transcriptional repressor in Xenopus embryos and mouse ES cells (Nicetto et al, 2013; van Krijnsbergen et al, 2017; Kurup et al, 2020). It primarily localizes to centromeres, telomeres, and repetitive DNA elements, and it participates in heterochromatin formation by recruiting factors such as cohesins (Hahn et al, 2013).

Here, we report that depletion of either both SUV4-20H enzymes or SUV4-20H1 alone strongly affects the formation of ciliary axonemes, the major specialized cytoskeleton structure of multiciliated cells (MCCs) on the Xenopus larval epidermis. Taking advantage of epidermal organoid cultures (animal cap explants) we demonstrate that knocking down SUV4-20H enzymes negatively affects the expression of hundreds of cilium and cytoskeleton genes with striking specificity. This study shows that such repression is a consequence of the high abundance of H4K20me1 on postmitotic chromatin. In WT cells, this repressive effect is neutralized by conversion of H4K20me1 to H4K20me2 status through SUV4-20H1. Ultimately, our data establish that SUV4-20H1 enzyme activity is needed for the formation of the multiciliated tuft.

Results

SUV4-20H enzymes are required for differentiation of MCCs in Xenopus larval epidermis

In order to study the function of H4K20 methylation in vivo, we induced protein knockdown (KD) of both SUV4-20H enzymes via radial injection of translation blocking antisense morpholino oligonucleotides (Mo) with established specificity and effectiveness (Nicetto et al, 2013) and investigated the consequences on H4K20 methyl marks by quantitative mass spectrometry (Fig 1A). SUV4-20H2 KD had a much stronger effect on H4K20me3 levels than SUV4-20H1 KD (Fig 1A), similar to what is observed in a mouse. KD of either enzyme also strongly reduced the H4K20me2 levels, with the double KD producing the strongest effect. This indicates that the function of the two enzymes is partially redundant. Interestingly, upon individual or double KD of the SUV4-20H enzymes, we observed a strong enrichment for H4K20me1, indicating that like in mammals, this histone mark serves as a substrate for SUV4-20H methyltransferases in Xenopus.

We noticed that double-morphant (dMO) tadpoles failed to exhibit the typical forward-sliding movement over ground, which is caused by the synchronous and directional beating of motile cilia on the larval epidermis. By ejecting an aqueous dye close to the surface of manipulated embryos, we found that the liquid flow was strongly impaired in SUV4-20H dMO embryos compared with control morpholino (CoMo)-injected embryos (Video 1 and Video 2). A reduced flow reflects either a problem with polarization of the cilia stroke direction (Mitchell et al, 2009) or a dysfunction of the cilia tufts on the skin surface. To distinguish between these possibilities, we injected embryos unilaterally with control or suv4-20h1/h2 morpholinos and performed whole mount immunostainings against acetylated alpha-tubulin, a major component of ciliary axonemes (Fig 1B and C). The vast majority of SUV4-20H dMOs displayed clearly reduced ciliary staining on their injected side. This phenotype was reproduced with a second pair of suv4-20h1/h2-specific Mos (supplementary material, Fig S1B and C), whose target regions are non-overlapping with the first Mo pair (Fig S1A). In addition, cilia tufts were significantly restored by co-injection of morpholino-insensitive Xenopus suv4-20h1/h2 mRNAs (Padj = 0.012), but not by co-injection of lacZ mRNA (Padj = 0.776). We also noticed that overexpression of xSUV4-20H1/H2 proteins alone increased the density of MCCs within the epidermis, while lacZ mRNA had no effect (Fig S1D and E).

To better elucidate the molecular features of this phenotype we performed a cell mosaic analysis by injecting a single ventral-animal blastomere at the eight cell-stage, whose descendants become mostly epidermis, and analysed the consequences by confocal microscopy (Fig 1D–G). The injected cells were lineage-traced by hyls1-gfp mRNA, which encodes a widely conserved protein stably incorporated into the outer centriolar wall (Dammermann et al, 2009). In this way, the progeny of the injected blastomere intermingles with the surrounding wt cells and the manipulated MCCs could be identified by GFP-positive basal bodies (BB). We then harvested the embryos at tailbud stage (NF28), that is, after formation of the mucociliary epithelium, and stained them for acetylated alpha-tubulin (cilia), filamentous actin (cell borders/apical actin lattice), and DNA (nucleus). This experiment demonstrated that SUV4-20H1/H2 dMO MCCs are present on the surface of the embryo and produce in deep cytoplasm a large number of centrioles (Fig 1D and E). This is very similar to WT MCCs, which are known to generate hundreds of centrioles at the outer nuclear membrane via the deuterosome pathway (Meunier & Azimzadeh, 2016). A more detailed comparison with CoMo MCCs, however, revealed that the BBs in SUV4-20H1/2-depleted MCCs tend to clump in deep cytoplasm and were delayed in transport to and docking at the apical cell membrane (Fig 1E, compare optical sections #5–2–5 between CoMo and dMOs). Notably, most BBs, which had arrived at the cell membrane, still failed to nucleate ciliary axonemes, detailing a nearly complete loss of cilia in many dMO MCCs. In addition, the apical actin lattice, which forms around BBs (Sedzinski et al, 2016), appears much less dense and uniform in SUV4-20H1/H2 dMO MCCs, compared with CoMo MCCs. The differences in cilia and apical actin staining were highly significant between control and SUV4-20H-depleted MCCs (Fig 1F and G). Together, these observations indicate that SUV4-20H1/H2-depleted MCCs display a differentiation defect that is because of multiple, possibly linked defects in cytoskeleton structures and processes, which are prerequisites for ciliogenesis. The severe reduction in cilia numbers explains the reduced liquid flow observed along the embryonic epidermis.
Figure 1. SUV4-20H1/H2 enzymes are required for ciliogenesis.

(A, A') Relative abundance of H4K20 methyl states by mass spectrometry in X. laevis bulk embryonic chromatin upon control (CoMo), single or double KD of SUV4-20H1 and SUV4-20H2 enzymes. (B, C) Representative immunocytochemistry images of multiciliated cells (acetylated α-tubulin) in a tail bud stage embryo upon control or SUV4-20H KD (inserts show enlarged sections of the same images, scale bars = 1 mm) and (C) quantification of (B) (n = 6 biological replicates). (D, E) Representative immunofluorescence images detailing the multiciliated cell phenotype upon suv4-20h1/2 KD. Basal bodies are green (hyls1-GFP), the cilia are magenta (acetylated α-tubulin antibody), the apical actin meshwork is red (phalloidin) and the nucleus is blue (DAPI). (E) Confocal analysis of the actin meshwork and docked basal bodies in CoMo or H1H2Mo-injected MCC. Panels show: 1— a representative MCC, 2—overlap between the actin cap and basal bodies on the apical surface, 3— basal bodies in only the
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**SUV4-20H enzymes act downstream of MCI and FOXJ1**

The multiciliogenic differentiation program begins when an epidermal stem cell gets specified by Notch/Delta signaling as a MCC precursor (Brooks & Wallingford, 2014). Notch regulates in MCC precursors the expression of MULTICILIN/MCIDAS (MCI), a transcriptional coactivator protein, which establishes a core MCC transcriptome of about 800 genes with help from key downstream transcription factors, such as FOXJ1 and RFX2 (Quigley & Kintner, 2017). We therefore wondered whether the effect of SUV4-20H depletion on ciliogenesis could be overcome by mci overexpression. We addressed this question in mosaic embryos, co-injecting morpholinos, and hysl1/gfp transcripts with synthetic mRNA of a hormone-inducible mci–hGR fusion construct (Quigley & Kintner, 2017). We administered dexamethasone at the late gastrula stage (NF11, that is, when the endogenous mci gene gets activated) and harvested the embryos at the late tail bud stage (NF28). In controls, mci–hGR induced both de novo amplification of centriole numbers and formation of cilia even in goblet cells, unambiguous evidence for an MCI gain of function phenotype. In SUV4-20H1/H2 dMO embryos, however, MCI–hGR did not restore the apical actin cap or the ciliary axonemes (Fig S2A–C).

To exclude the possibility that MCI could be limited in its function to activate foxj1, a transcription factor required to produce motile cilia nucleated from the mother centriole (Walentek et al, 2015), we also overexpressed this transcription factor in the dMO condition. The enhanced levels of FOXJ1 were sufficient to induce ectopic axoneme formation in CoMo goblet cells, but could not increase cilia numbers in SUV4-20H1/H2-depleted MCCs (Fig S2D–F). Taken together, these results indicate that SUV4-20H1/H2 enzymes are essential for multiciliogenesis.

**SUV4-20H1/H2-dependent transcriptional profile of Xenopus epidermis**

To analyse the changes in gene expression associated with the SUV4-20H dMO epidermal cells, we decided to take advantage of the animal cap (AC) organoid system. ACs are prospective ectodermal explants that recapitulate the differentiation of the embryonic epidermis. We injected embryos radially at the two-cell stage with either control or SUV4-20H1/h2 Mos. We then dissected ACs at the blastula stage and performed RNA-seq analysis at three key developmental stages (gastrula, neurula, and tailbud) in three biological replicates, obtaining approximately 100 million reads per stage and condition. Previous work from our laboratory has detailed major changes in transcription of differentiating AC cells to stage and condition. Previous work from our laboratory has detailed major changes in transcription of differentiating AC cells to stage and condition. Previous work from our laboratory has detailed major changes in transcription of differentiating AC cells to stage and condition. Previous work from our laboratory has detailed major changes in transcription of differentiating AC cells to stage and condition. Previous work from our laboratory has detailed major changes in transcription of differentiating AC cells to stage and condition.

We found that the expression of 3,686 genes (19.7% of all genes) were altered in this dataset, most of them (2,246) being down-regulated (Fig 2A and Table S3). We then performed gene ontology enrichment analysis for both transcriptional responses to the altered H4K20 methyl landscape. The group of genes that was up-regulated in the SUV4-20H dMO condition was enriched for biological processes involved in chromatin organization and remodeling, methylation, gene expression, and various metabolic processes (Fig 2B). In confirmation of previous results, we found oct25/pou5f3.2 and oct91/pou5f3.1 among these genes, which we have shown to be repressed by H4K20me3 deposition (Nicetto et al, 2013). In contrast, for the cohort of genes down-regulated in SUV4-20H dMO ACs, the top 20 entries were all associated with terms connected to cilium, centrosome, microtubules, and cytoskeleton (Fig 2C). The most enriched categories relate to “cytoskeleton” and “cilium” and comprise of hundreds of genes. These are typically expressed at medium to high levels in control ACs, and many of them are down-regulated in SUV4-20H1/H2-depleted choratin. The significantly down-regulated genes included 369/1603 cytoskeleton genes and 183/440 cilium genes (Fig 2D and E and Table S3). Notably, these two GO terms are biologically related and overlap significantly for all genes in these categories, but also with regard to genes becoming down-regulated in SUV4-20H morphants (Fig S3A and B). Similarly, a strong correlation is found when we compare our results with the “MCC core gene list,” which compiles experimentally validated ciliogenic genes downstream of MCI (Quigley & Kintner, 2017). Forty percent of all cilium genes and 70% of the cilium genes down-regulated in SUV4-20H morphants belong to this list (Fig S3C and D). Therefore, the transcriptome analysis of SUV4-20H-depleted ACs implies mainly a concerted down-regulation of genes that are needed for cilia tuft formation.

Most interestingly, key transcriptional regulators of ciliogenesis were expressed either at normal (foxj1, rfx2) or slightly up-regulated levels (mci) in the dMO condition (Table S3). Thus, SUV4-20H1/H2 enzymes are essential chromatin regulators which allow these transcription factors to execute the program of multiciliogenesis. The widespread down-regulation of genes associated with cytoskeleton structures and cilia provides a robust explanation for the specific MCC phenotype arising from SUV4-20H1/H2 depletion, but how does the altered H4K20 methyl landscape cause such a massive deregulation of ciliogenic gene transcription?

**The histone demethylase PHF8/KDM7B improves ciliogenesis in SUV4-20H dMO epidermis**

The H4K20me1 modification is important for mouse development (Schotta et al, 2008; Oda et al, 2009) and is found on promoters,
Figure 2. The transcriptome of SUV4-20H1/H2-depleted ACs reveals a link to cilogenesis. (A) Number of misregulated genes in SUV4-20H1/2-deficient ACs (Table S3). (B, C) Gene ontology (GO) analysis for up-regulated genes (B) and down-regulated genes (C) in suv4-20h1/2 morpholino-injected ACs normalized to control morpholino-injected ACs. Bubble size represents the number of significant genes per GO term and bubble color represents P-value. (C) GO analysis for down-regulated genes in suv4-20h1/2 morpholino-injected ACs. Bubble size represents the number of significant genes per GO term and bubble color represents P-value. (D, E) MA plots showing gene expression in suv4-20h1/2 morpholino-injected ACs. Each dot represents a single gene. Nonsignificant genes are indicated in light grey and significant in orange (Padj < 0.05). Cytoskeleton genes and cilium genes (as defined by R/Bioconductor package: SUV4-20H1 required for motile cilia tuft formation) Angerilli et al. https://doi.org/10.26508/lsa.202302023 vol 6 | no 7 | e202302023
coding regions of genes, and in intergenic regions (Barski et al., 2007; Beck et al., 2012b). Whether this mark represses or activates gene transcription has remained under dispute (for a discussion see Beck et al., 2012a; Beck et al., 2012b; Brejc et al., 2017; Huang et al., 2021). As an orthogonal approach to investigate the contribution of H4K20me1 on cilium tuft formation, our attention was attracted to PHF8/KDM7B, a JmJC-domain containing histone demethylase, which is targeted to promoters by interaction of its N-terminal PHD domain with H3K4me2/3. This enzyme has multiple substrates including H3K9me1/2, H3K27me2, and H4K20me1. Several reports demonstrate that knockdown of PHF8 predominantly increases H4K20me1 levels at coding regions and reduces gene expression (Liu et al., 2010; Qi et al., 2010; Asensio-Juan et al., 2017), impacting cytoskeleton organization, cell adhesion, and neurite outgrowth (Asensio-Juan et al., 2012). Based on some similarity between these and our observations (i.e., reduced cell adhesion and cytoskeleton gene expression), we decided to test whether overexpression of PHF8 could improve cilium tuft formation in SUV4-20H1 dMOs.

We used two phf8 cDNA clones available from commercial gene repositories: a full-length human clone (hPHF8) and a partial Xenopus phf8 clone (xPHF8ΔC). The latter consists of the first 264 aa residues of the phf8 ORF, including the PHD domain known to target the protein to H3K4me3 marks at active promoters, and the first 66 amino acids from the 156-residue long JmJC-domain (Fig S4A and B). Of the critical amino acid triad His-Asp-His in the catalytic center, the truncated Xenopus protein lacks the third residue involved in Fe-coordination and, thus, is potentially compromised in its catalytic activity (Chaturvedi et al., 2019).

First, we injected hPHF8 mRNA, either alone or in combination with suv4-20H Mos, and evaluated its effect on ciliogenesis by staining half-injected embryos for acetylated-α-tubulin at the tail bud stage. Injection of hPHF8 alone had little to no effect on the density or size of cilia tufts; however, it restored cilia staining significantly in SUV4-20H1 dMOs. In contrast, co-injection of the same amount of lacZ mRNA had no effect on the phenotype (Fig 3A and B). In comparable settings, xPHF8ΔC mRNA caused with high penetrance (96%) an increase in MCC numbers and ciliary staining intensity when injected alone (Fig S4A and C). In addition, it restored ciliogenesis in a significant manner, reducing the frequency of embryos with strongly reduced cilia from 90% to 25%. In addition, we confirmed by confocal microscopy in mosaic embryos that both phf8 mRNAs were able to significantly restore the assembly of axonemes and improve the density of the apical actin meshwork (PHF8: 85% of MCCs, xPHF8ΔC: 77% of MCCs), although not to the level of neighboring WT MCCs (Figs 3C–E and S4D and E).

These findings stimulated us to investigate the PHF8-dependent changes in gene expression, which accompany this partial morphological rescue. We injected embryos with PHF8 mRNA alone or in concert with the svu4-20H1/2 Mos (“hPHF8-rescue” condition). We also injected CoMo and LacZ mRNA as non-specific controls. The results from hPHF8-, CoMo-, and LacZ-injected explants were highly similar (Fig S5 and Tables S4 and S5). In order to stringently isolate the rescuing effect of hPHF8 on the svu4-20H1 dMO condition, we normalized the transcriptome of hPHF8-rescue ACs by the transcriptome of phf8-overexpressing ACs (Fig 4). In the hPHF8 rescue condition, 2,384 genes were significantly up-regulated, whereas 2,167 genes were significantly down-regulated (Fig 4A and Table S6). Of genes that were down-regulated in the initial suv4-20H1/2 dMO condition, 1,663 improved their expression and 389 were further down-regulated (Fig 4B). To correlate these changes in gene expression with the observed morphological rescue, we specifically investigated whether transcript levels of cilium and cytoskeleton genes, which were down in the initial SUV4-20H1/2 KD dataset, had improved (Fig 4D and F). Indeed, out of 183 cilium genes, 140 improved their log2-fold change (77%), whereas 43 were further down-regulated; and out of 363 cytoskeleton genes, 290 were improved in their expression (80%), whereas 73 were further down-regulated (Fig 4C and E).

The differential gene expression analysis for the xPHF8ΔC-rescue suggested that this truncated enzyme had a stronger activity on its own than the full-length human protein (Fig S6 and Table S7). By comparison of the log2-fold changes, xPHF8ΔC clearly improved the expression levels of cilium and cytoskeleton genes, which were down in SUV4-20H dMO condition (Fig S6B and D). This was found for 176/183 (96%) cilium genes and 344/363 (95%) cytoskeleton genes (Fig S6A and C).

All together, these results indicate that overexpression of the histone demethylase PHF8 has an influence on gene transcription in SUV4-20H-depleted epidermal organoids. The improved expression levels of cilium and cytoskeleton genes are compatible with the observed partial rescue of cilia tufts on the morphological level. This supports the hypothesis that the ciliogenic defect is caused through gene repression by H4K20me1.

**Cilia tuft formation requires catalytic activity of SUV4-20H1, independently from SUV4-20H2**

These last results strongly suggest that it is the increase in H4K20me1 abundance, rather than the loss of the H4K20me3 state, which blocks cilia tuft formation. Although both SUV4-20H enzymes contribute to H4K20me2 levels, the conversion to the trimethyl state (a predominant property of SUV4-20H2; see Fig 1) seems irrelevant. This raised the question, whether indeed both enzymes are required for multiciliogenesis.

To address this, we unilaterally injected embryos with either svu4-20H1 Mo, svu4-20H2 Mo or both and determined the effects by immunostaining for cilia tufts. As shown in Fig 5A and B, the KD of SUV4-20H1 alone elicited the loss of cilia to a similar extent and penetrance as the double KD of both enzymes. In contrast, SUV4-20H2 depleted embryos displayed WT-like cilia tufts. We then asked whether cilia tufts require the enzymatic activity of SUV4-20H1. Epidermal organoids, depleted only for SUV4-20H1, were co-injected with mRNAs, encoding either wt or a catalytically inactive Xenopus SUV4-20H1 variant. WT SUV4-20H1 protein restored
Figure 3. Rescue of the ciliogenic phenotype with hPHF8.

(A) Representative immunocytochemistry images of tail bud stage embryos stained for acetylated α-tubulin (multiciliated cells). Injected reagents shown on y-axis, uninjected, and injected sides shown on top. Scale bars = 1 mm (whole embryo) and 200 μm (inserts), n = 4 biological replicates.

(B) Quantification of (A).

(C) Representative confocal images detailing the multiciliated cell phenotype upon suv4-20h1/2 KD and both rescue conditions. The basal bodies are green (hyls1-GFP), the ciliary axonemes are magenta (acetylated α-tubulin antibody), the apical actin meshwork is red (phalloidin), and the nucleus is blue (DAPI). A mosaic injection scheme is used allowing KD and wt cells to be present in the same field of view (* = KD MCCs, wt = wildtype MCCs). (C, D, E) Quantification of (C). Scale bars = 10 μm, n = 3 biological replicates.
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Figure 4. hPHF8 improves gene expression in suv4-20h1/2 dMO ACs.

(A) Number of misregulated genes in hPHF8 Rescue (H1H2Mo + hPHF8 mRNA injected ACs) normalized to hPHF8-alone ACs. (B, C, E) Change in expression of all genes (B), cilium genes (C) or cytoskeleton genes (E) that were down-regulated by SUV4-20H1/2 knockdown upon hPHF8 rescue. To investigate whether transcript levels of cilium and cytoskeleton genes, which were down in the initial SUV4-20H1/2 KD dataset, had improved, we compare the log2 fold change between H1H2Mo versus CoMo-injected animal caps to log2 fold change of hPHF8 Rescue versus hPHF8-injected animal caps. (D, F) shows the result for cilium genes and (F) for cytoskeleton genes. Dashed line indicates no difference in expression upon hPHF8 rescue. Genes above the line have improved expression and genes below the line are further down-regulated. (n = 3 biol. replicates).
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Figure 5. **SUV4-20H1 activity is needed for cilia tuft formation.**

Representative immunostainings of multiciliated cells (acetylated α-tubulin) on the tail bud stage embryos. (A) X. tropicalis embryos upon KD of the suv4-20h enzymes individually or in concert. Scale bars = 1 mm, n = 3 biol. (A, B) Replicates (B) Quantification of the experiment in (A). (C) X. laevis embryos upon KD of suv4-20h1 and rescued with either catalytically inactive (c.i.) or WT suv4-20h1 mRNA. Scale bars = 1 mm (whole embryo) and 200 μm (inserts), n = 3 biological replicates. (C, D) Quantification of panel (C).

**SUV4-20H1 required for motile cilia tuft formation**
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ciliogenesis in a significant manner, whereas the catalytically in-
active variant was indistinguishable from the SUV4-20H1-depleted
embryos (Fig 5C and D).

In a separate experimental series, we confirmed that PHF8
significantly restored ciliogenesis in SUV4-20H1 morphant explants
by immunostaining (Fig 6A and B). Confocal microscopy confirmed
that hPHF8 overexpression improved both formation of the apical
actin cap (64% of MCCs) and assembly of ciliary axonemes (75%
of MCCs) (Fig 6C–E). In summary, we found no difference in strength
and penetrance of the cilia phenotype between single SUV4-20H1
MOs and SUV4-20H1/H2 dMOs. We conclude that the conversion of
H4K20me1 to H4K20me2 by SUV-20H1 is essential for the differ-
entiation of MCCs, whereas the contribution from SUV4-20H2 is not
required.

Discussion

The SUV4-20H1/KMT5B histone methyltransferase converts H4K20me1
to H4K20me2 and, along with SUV4-20H2/KMT5C, generates the most
abundant histone modification in vertebrate chromatin (Pesavento
The work presented here has elucidated an unexpected and novel
function for this enzyme in developing Xenopus embryos. On the
morphological level, SUV4-20H1 is needed for the production of
hundreds of motile cilia on the apical surface of MCCs. These cilia tufts
are a hallmark of mucociliary epithelia and generate directional flow
along their surface (Boutin & Kodjabachian, 2019). To our knowledge,
these data represent the first example of a cellular organelle to de-
pend on a histone-modifying enzyme.

The ciliogenic defect is specific, because it is produced by two
independent, non-overlapping suv4-20h1 Mos. It is also signifi-
cantly rescued by WT, but not enzymatically inactive, SUV4-20H1
protein. Furthermore, axoneme formation is only impaired by
SUV4-20H1, which contributes very little to H4K20me3 abundance.
Conversely, SUV4-20H2 does not impact ciliogenesis, although it
gives rise to the global H4K20me2 level. This implies that the
SUV4-20h2 enzyme is either not targeted, or has less access, to
genes that become misregulated in morphant MCCs. Therefore,
the ciliary phenotype represents a specific function of SUV4-20H1
that most likely involves conversion of H4K20me1 to H4K20me2,
implying some nonredundant functions for the two enzymes in
transcriptional regulation. This is consistent with evidence from
knockout mice and FRAP analyses (Schotta et al, 2004; Hahn et al,
2013).

The hypothesis that the cilia phenotype arises from the increase
in H4K20me1 levels is independently supported by the return of
ciliary axonemes upon PHF8 overexpression. Although this enzyme
can remove methyl groups from several sites on histone tails,
including H3K9, H3K27, and H4K20, cells depleted for PHF8 pre-
dominantly show an increase in H4K20me1 levels at active pro-
moters (Liu et al, 2010). It is important to note that SUV4-20H1 and
PHF8 interact very differently with chromatin, that is, PHF8 acts on a
limited portion of the genome (mostly promoters), whereas SUV4-
20H1 operates broadly. This probably explains why rescue by PHF8
is incomplete, and why we did not detect a reduction in H4K20me1
levels upon PHF8 overexpression in bulk chromatin.

Our data regarding the rescuing activity of PHF8 is interesting in
several ways. Because PHF8 exclusively demethylates the mono-
methylated, but not the di or trimethylated state of H4K20, it
corroborates our conclusion that the cilia phenotype is independ-
ent from SUV4-20H2 and/or H4K20me3. Second, cilia formation in
SUV4-20H morphants is improved even by a truncated Xenopus
PHF8 variant, which lacks the c-terminal part with critical amino
acid residues of its catalytic domain. This suggests that the pro-
posed repressive effect of hyperabundant H4K20me1 on the
transcription of several hundred MCC core genes depends on
reader protein(s), whose access could be impaired by the inactive
PHF8 variant. Although this remains speculative, members of the
L3MBTL protein family could be responsible for mediating tran-
scriptional repression in embryos with high H4K20me1 abundance.
Some of these proteins bind H4K20me1 and compact chromatin
structure by fostering interactions between neighboring nucleo-
osomes (Trojer et al, 2007; Balakrishnan et al, 2010). It should be
noted that besides PHF8, there are additional histone demethyl-
lases which recognize methylated H4K20 as substrates (Brejc et al,
2017; Cao et al, 2020). It is currently unknown whether any of these
enzymes is involved in MCC differentiation.

Differential gene expression analysis for control and SUV4-20H
dMO ACs revealed the concerted down-regulation of about 500
genes associated with the GO terms “cilium” or “cytoskeleton,”
providing a plausible explanation for the observed phenotype. The
expression of 75–80% of these genes was improved by over-
expression of hPHF8, consistent with the observed morphological
rescue under this condition. However, the total number of both up-
and down-regulated genes in SUV4-20H1/2 dMOs is much higher,
raising important questions: Do all misregulated genes display
an increase in the H4K20me1 mark? Can SUV4-20H1 dependent
chromatin regions be distinguished from those controlled by SUV4-
20H2? Where does H4K20me1 accumulate on the misregulated
genes? Finally, are there transcription factor-binding motifs which
are common to H4K20me1-sensitive genes? These questions can be
addressed in the future by comparative genome profiling of the
H4K20me1 landscape in normal and SUV4-20H-depleted ACs.

Our study has firmly established that SUV4-20H1 enzymatic
activity is required for the formation of cilia tufts on Xenopus larval
epidermis. The most likely explanation for the ciliogenic phenotype
in SUV4-20H1 morphants is a transcriptional change because of the
altered H4K20me1 landscape. An alternative explanation is sug-
gested by recent findings demonstrating non-histone proteins as
substrates for histone methyltransferases (Jambhekar et al, 2019).
For instance, the chromatin modifiers SET7, EZH2, and SMYD1 are
already known to affect gene expression by methylating specific
transcription factors (Jambhekar et al, 2019). SUV4-20H1/KMT5B was
shown to methylate some non-histone proteins in vitro (i.e., the zinc
finger transcription factor CASZ, and OSBPL1A, a transport protein
of oxidized sterols) (Weirich et al, 2016). Although the significance
of this finding is currently unclear, it opens up the possibility that the
ciliogenic defect could involve mechanisms independent from
histone methylation. Although additional experiments are needed
to address these questions in the future, our study has firmly
Figure 6. Rescue of ciliogenic phenotype in H1 Mo embryos with hPHF8.

(A) Representative immunocytochemistry images of the tail bud stage embryos stained for acetylated α-tubulin (multiciliated cells). Injected reagents shown on the left, uninjected, and injected sides shown on top. Scale bars = 1 mm (whole embryo) and 200 μm (inserts), n = 3 biological replicates.

(B) Quantification of (A).

(C) Representative confocal images detailing the multiciliated cell phenotype. Injected reagents shown on the left. The basal bodies are green (hyls1-GFP), the ciliary axonemes are magenta (acetylated α-tubulin antibody), the apical actin meshwork is red (phalloidin), and the nucleus is blue (DAPI). A mosaic injection scheme is used allowing KD and wt cells to be present in the same field of view (* = KD MCCs, wt = wildtype MCCs). Scale bar = 10 μm, n = 3 biol. replicates.

(D, E) Quantification of cilia phenotype (D) and actin phenotype (E).
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established the requirement for SUV4-20H1 in the formation of the cilia tuft.

What could be the biological link that connects SUV4-20H1 activity with MCC differentiation? Formation of the cilia tuft requires exit from the cell cycle. We and others have shown that the relative abundance of each H4K20me state largely reflects the cellular proliferation rate. Cell cycle withdrawal establishes a distinct equilibrium, in which un and monomethylated H4K20 marks are reduced by conversion to di and trimethylated states. In consequence, the chromatin of non-proliferating cells switches from primarily mono to primarily dimethylated H4K20, although the low-abundant H4K20me3 mark shows the highest absolute fold increase (Evertts et al., 2013; Schuh et al., 2020; Corvalan & Coller, 2021; Pokrovsky et al., 2021). Consistent with this switch, both SUV4-20H enzymes have been involved in maintenance of quiescence, although with distinguishable and perhaps cell-type-specific contributions (Evertts et al., 2013; Boonsanay et al., 2016). Therefore, we propose that the ciliogenic phenotype is caused by a switch from quiescent H4K20me2-dominated chromatin to a proliferation-like H4K20me1 chromatin that is incompatible with ciliogenic transcription.

MCCs might be particularly vulnerable to such an aberrant chromatin state because their differentiation requires the mitotic oscillator, consisting of CDK1 and APC/C. At high activity levels, the oscillator controls entry and exit of mitosis in proliferating cells, whereas at lower signal levels, it coordinates the progression of organelle remodeling associated with cilia formation (Al Jord et al., 2019). Specifically, postmitotic MCCs redploy the mitotic oscillator to coordinate centriole amplification with basal body migration and axoneme synthesis (Al Jord et al., 2017), all of which are perturbed in SUV4-20H1-depleted epidermis. Although it is currently unknown how the activity of the mitotic oscillator is calibrated in postmitotic cells, we posit that SUV4-20H1 is needed to relieve cilia genes from the repressive influence of H4K20me1, whose abundance is maximal at the late G1 phase, but virtually absent in the G0 phase. In this model, SUV4-20H1 activity may enable the transcriptome of MCCs to respond adequately to the low activity of the mitotic oscillator as a prerequisite for cilia tuft formation.

We assume that the impact of SUV4-20H activities on cell quiescence and differentiation has remained largely unexplored because most of studies on histone PTMs have been performed in proliferating cells, which are generally undifferentiated. We have detected this mechanism in the highly dynamic context of developing frog embryos, in which cells stop proliferating at specific times and switch on differentiation programs, which let them assemble diverse cytoskeletal structures depending on the cell type. It is important to recognize that the histone modification landscape of cells that undergo this switch is challenged by the sudden disappearance of S-phase dilution of parental histone marks (Scharf et al., 2009). This indeed influences epigenetic information, because histone PTMs are propagated across the cell cycle by at least two distinct kinetic modes (Alabert et al., 2015). We have recently found by computational modeling that dilution through DNA replication is sufficient to explain the abundance of H4K20me2 states in proliferating embryos, whereas active demethylation is needed to shape histone methylation levels in G1-arrested embryos (Schuh et al., 2020). We therefore believe that for a deeper understanding of histone PTMs and their impact on cellular morphology, it will be necessary to investigate the chromatin landscape in differentiated, non-proliferating cells, which, after all, constitute most of the human body.

On the organismal level, specialized cytoskeletal structures play a role in the etiology of many human diseases. For example, defects in motile cilia formation are accountable for polycystic kidney disease, Meckel–Gruber syndrome or Leber’s congenital amaurosis (Waters & Beales, 2011). Moreover, cilia are essential for the mucociliary clearance in the lung and thus play a pivotal role in several diseases including cystic fibrosis, asthma or chronic bronchitis (Tilley et al., 2015). Our data show that it is possible to manipulate the amount of cilia in both directions by acting on the chromatin enzymes that regulate the abundance of H4K20 methylation. In fact, these enzymes are druggable and small-molecule inhibitors for SUV4-20H enzymes and JmjC-domain-containing proteins like PHF8 are available (Bromberg et al., 2017). We suggest that manipulating H4K20 methylation states could have a therapeutic potential for diseases of unclear etiology that affect tubulin- or actin-based cytoskeleton structures.

Materials and Methods

Ethics statement

Xenopus experiments adhere to the protocol on the protection and welfare of animals of the European Commission and are approved by the local animal care authorities.

Embryo handling and AC preparation

Xenopus laevis and Xenopus tropicalis embryos were handled and fertilized in vitro using standard procedures. Embryos were injected with up to 10 nl vol. two-cell stage-injected embryos were co-injected with Alexa Fluor-488 Dextran (Invitrogen) as a lineage tracer and sorted by left- or right-side injection before harvesting. To maximize the distribution of reagents, blastomeres at the two-cell stage were injected twice at opposite ends (one cell for half-sided injections, both cells for radial injections). Whole embryos were staged according to the normal table (Nieuwkoop & Faber, 1967). X. tropicalis animal caps (ACs) were manually dissected and staged based on sibling embryos.

Expression constructs and morpholino oligonucleotides

Full-length human phf8 cDNA in pCMV-SPORT6 and truncated xphf8 (xphf8ΔC) in pCS108 were obtained from Dharmacon/Horizon Discovery. The following published plasmid constructs were kindly provided by: P. Walentek (MC1-hGR), A Schweikert (foxj1, both in pCS2), and A. Dammermann (hyls1-gfp in pCS2). Catalytically inactive suv4-20h1 mRNA was generated by point mutagenesis of X. tropicalis suv4-20h1 in pCS2+ (N248A and Y283A in NCBI Reference Sequence XP_002941687.1) as described in (Nicetto et al., 2013). Synthetic mRNAs were injected into embryos at the two- or eight-cell stage.
Translation-blocking morpholino oligonucleotides (Mo) directed against Xenopus suv4-20h1 (complementary to X. laevis and X. tropicalis suv4-20h1 5’-gattccggcacaaccttagtgc-3’) and suv4-20h2 (X. laevis suv4-20h2: 5’-ttgccgtcagatgatggacctat-3’, X. tropicalis suv4-20h2: 5’-cgcgaaggttgaaccatacg-3’) and standard control Mo (5’-cctctacacctgacctaatatt-3’) were obtained from Gene Tools LLC. X. laevis embryos were injected with 30–40 ng of each Mo per blastomere into the animal pole at the two-cell stage. For confocal analysis, X. laevis embryos were injected at the eight-cell stage in one dorsal blastomere with 5 ng of each morpholino in 2.5 nl (CoMo = 10 ng). X. tropicalis embryos were injected with 20 ng of each morpholino. Rescue experiments were performed by co-injecting suv4-20h1/2 Mos with mRNAs as specified in figure legends.

Mass spectrometry analysis and histone PTM quantification

A detailed description of the method and the data analysis has been published (Pokrovsky et al., 2021). In short, for studying histone PTMs, we extracted histones from X. laevis embryos at stage NF16 (neurula stage). Absolute and relative abundance of the histone PTMs were measured on the QexactiveHF LC–MS/MS in DDA Top10 acquisition method, using a library of isotopically labelled peptides (R10s), which mimic modification states present on endogenous, tryptic histone peptide fragments, as internal and inter-sample control. The open source Skyline software (version 3.7) was used for the data analysis. Total area MS1 from endogenous peptides was normalized to the respective area of heavy-labeled peptides. The sum of all normalized total area MS1 values of the same isotopically modified peptide in one sample resembled the amount of total peptide. The relative abundance of an observed modified peptide was calculated as the percentage of the overall peptide.

The spectra from the samples, analyzed in Fig 1, were missing the signal from the H4K20un heavy-labeled peptide. H4K20m1, m2, and m3 heavy-labelled peptides were detected as expected. The missing values (12% of the entire dataset) were imputed using stochastic regression imputation method before calculating the relative abundance. In short, for the imputation step, the ratios between R10 H4K20un to me1, me2, and me3 were calculated based on more than 50 previous MS experiments, where the same R10 library was used. Based on the ratios, the H4K20un R10 values were calculated, averaged, and then a random mean was chosen for the relative abundance calculation.

Immunocytochemistry

ICC was performed as described (Robinson & Guille, 1999). For confocal analysis, the methanol step was omitted. Embryos were stained with: monoclonal anti-acetylated tubulin antibody Sigma-Aldrich (T6793, diluted 1:500), 25 μM DAPI, 0.33 μM phalloidin-Alexa 555 Thermo Fisher Scientific (A34055). Depending on the analysis, embryos were incubated with secondary goat anti-mouse conjugated to Alexa 647 (A21236; diluted 1:500; Thermo Fisher Scientific) or alkaline phosphatase-fused secondary sheep anti-mouse antibodies (AP303A; diluted 1:1,000; Chemicon).

Statistical analysis

ICC results from knockdown experiments were analyzed using a two-tailed t test. Results from rescue experiments were analyzed using one-way ANOVA with post-hoc Tukey test.

RNA library preparation and sequencing

Total cellular RNA was isolated and purified from approximately 30 X. tropicalis ACs using Trizol (Ambion) and phenol/chloroform extraction, followed by clean-up with RNeasy Mini-Kit (QIAGEN). RNA Integrity Number (RIN) was analysed using an Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer to monitor RNA quality (Schroeder et al., 2006). Ribosomal RNA was removed from 500 ng of input RNA using either Ribo-Zero Gold rRNA Removal Kit (Human/Mouse/Rat) from Illumina or NEBNext rRNA Depletion Kit (Human/Mouse/Rat). Then, total stranded RNA sequencing libraries were prepared using NEBNext Ultra Directional RNA Library Prep Kit for Illumina following the manufacturer’s instructions. The quality and size of libraries were verified using the Agilent Bioanalyzer with the Agilent DNA 100 kit. RNA libraries were multiplexed and sequenced with 50 base pairs (bp), paired-end reads to a depth of 30 million reads per sample on an Illumina HiSeq4000.

RNA-seq analysis

Sequencing reads were mapped to the reference genome (X. tropicalis v9.1 available from Xenbase) using STAR (version 2.7.1a). Gene models were provided as a gtf file, which was converted from the Xenbase gff3 format using Cufflinks gffread (version 2.2.1). Reads were counted for each gene in the same STAR run by the quant-Mode GeneCounts.

Downstream analyses were carried out in R (version 3.6.1) using helper function from the HelpersforDESeq2 package (version 0.1; https://github.com/tschauer/HelpersforDESeq2) Differential analysis was performed by DESeq2 (version 1.26) for each pairwise comparison separately. Genes with at least 1 mapped read detected in 75 percent of the samples in the given dataset were considered. Significantly differential genes were defined by an adjusted P-value cutoff of 0.05. Results were visualized as MA plots, where the x-axis indicates the log2(log2) mean counts and the y-axis the log2 fold change (log2FC). Comparison of the independent datasets was visualized by log2FC-log2FC plots, where the shown condition was compared with its own control.

Gene ontology annotation was derived from the mouse org.Mm. eg.db package (version 3.8.2) by converting gene ids from mouse to Xenopus. Genes annotated with cellular component “cilium” or “cytoskeleton” were selected by the GO ids “GO:0005929” or “GO:0005856” and all their offspring terms, respectively. GO enrichment analysis was performed by the topGO package (version 2.36.0) using Fisher statistics. GO results were visualized as bubble plots, where the x-axis indicates the fold enrichment (i.e., the observed number of significant genes for the GO term over the expected number), the bubble size is proportional to the number of significant genes for the GO term and the color intensity is related to the Fisher test P-value.
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