Research Article

Human *BRCA* pathogenic variants were originated during recent human history

Jiaheng Li, Bojin Zhao, Teng Huang, Zixin Qin, San Ming Wang

***BRCA1* and *BRCA2* (*BRCA*) play essential roles in maintaining genome stability. *BRCA* germline pathogenic variants increase cancer risk. However, the evolutionary origin of human *BRCA* pathogenic variants remains largely elusive. We tested the 2,972 human *BRCA1* and 3,652 human *BRCA2* pathogenic variants from ClinVar database in 100 vertebrates across eight clades, but failed to find evidence to show cross-species evolution conservation as the origin; we searched the variants in 2,792 ancient human genome data, and identified 28 *BRCA1* and 22 *BRCA2* pathogenic variants in 44 cases dated from 45,000 to 300 yr ago; we analyzed the haplotype-dated human *BRCA* pathogenic founder variants, and observed that they were mostly arisen within the past 3,000 yr; we traced ethnic distribution of human *BRCA* pathogenic variants, and found that the majority were present in single or a few ethnic populations. Based on the data, we propose that human *BRCA* pathogenic variants were highly likely arisen in recent human history after the latest out-of-Africa migration, and the expansion of modern human population could largely increase the variation spectrum.**

DOI [10.26508/lsa.202101263](https://doi.org/10.26508/lsa.202101263) | Received 15 October 2021 | Revised 25 January 2022 | Accepted 26 January 2022 | Published online 14 February 2022

Introduction

Repairing the damaged DNA by environmental and metabolic factors is vital for all lives on earth. In eukaryotes, this is achieved by the DNA damage repair system composed of multiple pathways to repair different types of DNA damage (Jeggo et al, 2016). The homologous recombination pathway repairs double-strand DNA damage by joint activities of a group of genes to reach error-free repair of DNA double-strand break (Jasin & Rothstein, 2013). *BRCA1* and *BRCA2* (*BRCA*) are two of the essential genes in the homologous recombination pathway. *BRCA1* was arisen in animal and plant kingdoms 1.2 billion yr ago, and *BRCA2* was arisen in fungus, plant, and animal kingdoms 1.6 billion yr ago (Kojic et al, 2002; Pfeiffer et al, 2017). Studies revealed that *BRCA* across wide-range species including most of the primates is evolutionarily highly conserved by

negative selection in reflecting its essential roles in maintaining genome stability. In contrast, however, *BRCA* in humans and its closest living relatives of chimps and bonobos is rapidly evolving by positive selection (Huttley et al, 2000; Fleming et al, 2003; Abkevich et al, 2004; Pavlicek et al, 2004; Burk-Herrick et al, 2006; O'Connell, 2010; Lou et al, 2014). The positive selection likely resulted in the new function of *BRCA* gained in these three species, such as promoting immunity to counter viral infection (Lou et al, 2014), regulating gene expression and metabolism (Rosen et al, 2006; Chen et al, 2020), enhancing neural development (Pao et al, 2014), and increasing reproduction (Smith et al, 2013).

BRCA is one of the best-known genetic predisposition genes for cancer (Narod & Foulkes, 2004). Efforts made in the past decades have identified nearly 70,000 human *BRCA* variants (Cline et al, 2018) (<https://brcaexchange.org/factsheet>). A part of human *BRCA* variants is determined as “pathogenic” or “likely pathogenic” (*BRCA* PLP) in causing high cancer risk affecting mostly breast and ovary (Welch & King, 2001). *BRCA* PLP is widely used in clinical practice as the marker for cancer diagnosis, prevention, prognosis, and treatment through synthetic lethal mechanism (Anderson et al, 2008; Plon et al, 2008; Huen et al, 2010; George et al, 2017; Bhaskaran et al, 2019).

Human *BRCA* PLP provides an ideal system to study evolution origin of human disease susceptibility. While high evolutionary conservation across species suggests the possibility that human *BRCA* PLP could be originated from evolution conservation, the positive selection imposed in the humans, chimps, and bonobos but not in other species highlights another possibility that human *BRCA* PLP could be originated from human itself rather than from evolution conservation. However, there is no consent so far in determining which of the two possibilities could be the right origin for human *BRCA* PLP. We analyzed human *BRCA* variation data reported by previous evolutionary studies in *BRCA* (Huttley et al, 2000; Fleming et al, 2003; Burk-Herrick et al, 2006; Lou et al, 2014). The results showed that of the 111 human *BRCA* variants analyzed in these studies, 108 (94.7%) were non-pathogenic including 42 (37.8%) benign, 47 (42.3%) variants of uncertain significance (VUS), but only 6 (5.4%) were Pathogenic (Table S1). Therefore, the information from the previous studies reflects mainly evolutionary conservation of *BRCA* variation but not the origin of human *BRCA* PLP.

MoE Frontiers Science Center for Precision Oncology, Cancer Center and Institute of Translational Medicine, Faculty of Health Sciences, University of Macau, Macau, China

Correspondence: sanmingwang@um.edu.mo

In the current study, we addressed the evolution origin of human *BRCA* PLP. The rapid progress of genomics studies provides rich DNA sequence data across a wide range of species for phylogenetic study, and the recent anthropological studies have also generated abundant DNA sequence data from ancient humans. These rich resources provide unique opportunities to study the evolutionary origin of the human *BRCA* PLP on the scale and accuracy unimaginable before. Taking the advantages, we performed a comprehensive phylogenetic and archeological study to investigate the evolution origin of human *BRCA* PLP. Data from our study provide evidence to show that human *BRCA* PLP was highly unlikely originated from cross-species evolutionary conservation, but most likely arisen during recent human history after the latest out-of-Africa migration and the great expansion of modern human population.

Results

Phylogenetic analysis of human *BRCA* PLPs in non-human vertebrates

We identified a total of 6,624 *BRCA* PLP variants (2,972 in *BRCA1* and 3,652 in *BRCA2*) from the ClinVar database for the study (Tables 1 and S2). We searched evidence for potential conservation of *BRCA* PLP variants between the humans and the 100 vertebrates distributed in eight clades of Primate, Euarchontoglires, Laurasiatheria, Afrotheria, Mammal, Aves, Sarcopterygii, and Fish. We identified 172 (5.8%) human *BRCA1* PLP variants shared with 69 species, and 312 (8.6%) human *BRCA2* PLP variants shared with 90 species (Figs 1, 2, and S1A and Tables S3 and S4).

Of the *BRCA1* PLP variants shared in the eight clades, Aves had the highest sharing number of 14 species and Sarcopterygii the second highest of eight species than other clades ($P < 0.001$) (Fig S2). For example, *BRCA1* c.3268C>T (p.Gln1090Ter) was shared with 19 species from Rock pigeon in Aves to Lizard in Sarcopterygii, c.2498T>A (p.Leu833Ter) with 18 species from Saker falcon in Aves to Spiny softshell turtle in Sarcopterygii, and c.2138C>A (p.Ser713Ter) with 18 species from Rock pigeon in Aves to Lizard in Sarcopterygii; all 11 PLP variants located in the *BRCA1* BRCT domain were shared with the species within Aves and Sarcopterygii clades. Tasmanian devil, a species with high risk of developing facial cancer, also shared 20 human *BRCA1* PLP variants. In the shared *BRCA2* PLP variants, the highest one including *BRCA2* c.8933C>A (p.Ser2978Ter) shared with 36 species from Lesser Egyptian jerboa in Euarchontoglires to Opossum in mammal, and c.8933C>A (p.Ser2978Ter) shared with 36 species from Lesser Egyptian jerboa in Euarchontoglires to Opossum in Mammal to Spotted gar in Fish, the most distal species sharing this PLP. As the most used animal models in biomedical study, mouse shared eight and rat shared seven human *BRCA1* PLP variants, of which only two were located at the BRCT domain. Zebrafish, another important biological model, shared 25 human *BRCA2* PLP variants.

Of the species sharing with human *BRCA* PLP variants, Spiny softshell turtle in Sarcopterygii had the highest sharing number of 34 *BRCA1* PLP variants, and Lizard in Sarcopterygii had the highest sharing number of 57 *BRCA2* PLP variants. There were no human *BRCA* PLP variant shared with primates of Chimp, Gorilla, Orangutan,

Gibbon, Rhesus, Crab-eating macaque and Baboon whereas there were 10 PLP variants shared with the distal primates of Marmoset, Squirrel monkey, and Bushbaby: Marmoset shared *BRCA1* c.850C>T (p.Gln284Ter) and *BRCA2* c.1642C>T (p.Gln548Ter), Squirrel monkey and Bushbaby shared an *BRCA1* intronic c.1058G>A (p.Trp353Ter), Squirrel monkey and Bushbaby shared *BRCA2* c.4689G>A (p.Trp1563Ter), and Bushbaby shared *BRCA2* c.2651C>A (p.Ser884Ter), c.2978G>A (p.Trp993Ter), c.4689G>A (p.Trp1563Ter), c.3504G>T (p.Met1168Ile) and c.5263G>T (p.Glu1755Ter). Marmoset, Squirrel monkey, and Bushbaby had the divergent time of 40, 12.5, 15 million yr from hominins, accordingly. As control, we searched *BRCA1* c. 68_69del, *BRCA1* c.5266dup and *BRCA2* c.5946del, the three *BRCA* founder PLP variants in Ashkenazi Jews population (Levy-Lahad et al, 1997), in the 100 vertebrates. We found no evidence for their presence in these species: the wildtype AG at the position of 68–69 in *BRCA1* was present across 51 species from Chimp to Armadillo, the wild-type C at the position of 5,266 in *BRCA1* was present across 83 species from Rhesus to Coelacanth, and the wild-type T at the position of 5,946 of *BRCA2* was present across 87 species from Chimp to Tetraodon.

Of the 172 *BRCA1* and 312 *BRCA2* PLP variants shared with non-human species, the major types were stop-gain/nonsense variants (156 [90.1%] shared *BRCA1* PLP variants and 280 [89%] shared *BRCA2* PLP variants). Although frameshift indels constituted the majority of human *BRCA* PLP variants, none of them was present in other species (Table 1). The shared PLP variants did not enrich in specific functional domains, but distributed across coding region in both *BRCA1* and *BRCA2* (Fig S3A and B, $P > 0.1$): of the 388 of 2,972 (13%) *BRCA1* PLP variants located in functional domains, only 13 (3.4%) were shared with other species (11 in BRCT domain and 2 in Coiled-Coil domain); of the 1,077 of 3,652 (29.5%) *BRCA2* PLP variants in functional domains, only 54 (5.0%) were shared with other species (15 in BRC repeats, 38 in DBD, 1 in NLS and 8 in Transactivation domain) (Tables S3 and S4).

The results from our phylogenetic analysis reported above do not support evolution conservation as the major source of human *BRCA* PLP.

Archeological analysis of *BRCA* PLP in ancient humans

As our phylogenetic analysis did not find evidence to support evolution conservation as the major source of human *BRCA* PLP, we then tested whether human *BRCA* PLP could be originated from human itself. We performed an archeological analysis by searching human *BRCA* PLP in ancient human genome sequence data. We first searched the three Neanderthal and two Denisovan genome sequences (Green et al, 2010; Meyer et al, 2012; Prufer et al, 2014, 2017; Mafessoni et al, 2020), which were diverged from the ancestors of modern humans 400–800 thousand year ago (kya). We did not identify any matched human *BRCA* PLP variants. Next, we searched human *BRCA* PLP variants in 2,792 ancient human genome sequences dated from 45,000 to 300 yr ago. We identified 46 *BRCA* PLP variants in 50 ancient individuals, including 24 *BRCA1* PLP variants in 28 individuals and 22 *BRCA2* PLP variants in 22 individuals (Table 2 and Fig S1B), lived in Africa, Europe, Asia, Oceania, and Central and North America (Fig 3). Each matched PLP variant had dbSNP ID, further ensured the fidelity of the matched variants. The oldest matched *BRCA1* PLP variant was *BRCA1* c.181T>G (p.C61G) in an individual in Voronezh Oblast, Russia dated about 37,470 yr ago (Seguin-Orlando et al, 2014), the youngest matched *BRCA1* PLP

Table 1. Human BRCA PLP variants in 100 vertebrates.

	BRCA1	BRCA2	Total (%)
A. Human BRCA PLP used in the study			
Total	2,972	3,652	6,624
Pathogenic	2,767	3,396	6,163
Likely pathogenic	110	155	265
Pathogenic/likely pathogenic	95	101	196
Types of mutation			
Frameshift deletion	1,250	1,676	2,926 (44.2)
Stopgain/nonsense	655	851	1,506 (22.7)
Frameshift insertion	622	738	1,360 (20.5)
Splice site	176	190	366 (5.5)
Nonsynonymous SNV	116	47	163 (2.5)
Frameshift substitution	88	114	202 (3.1)
Intron variant	48	18	66 (1.0)
Nonframeshift deletion	10	12	22 (0.3)
Nonframeshift substitution	6	5	11 (0.2)
5'/3' UTR	1	1	2 (0.03)
B. Human BRCA PLP shared with other species			
Shared mutation	172 (5.8)	312 (8.6)	484 (7.3)
Types of shared mutation			
Stopgain/nonsense	156	280	436 (6.6)
Splice site	6	17	23 (0.3)
Nonsynonymous SNV	5	8	13 (0.2)
Intron variant	5	7	12 (0.2)
Frameshift deletion	—	—	—
Frameshift insertion	—	—	—
Nonframeshift deletion	—	—	—
5'/3' UTR	—	—	—
C. Number of species sharing human BRCA PLP			
1	68 (39.5)	130 (41.6)	198 (40.9)
2	24	40	65
3	14	36	50
4	7	18	25
5	19	15	34
6	6	12	18
7	8	8	16
8	3	5	8
9	2	4	6
10	2	4	6
11	4	1	5
12	2	2	4
13	1	4	5
14	1	3	4
15	3	5	8

(Continued on following page)

Table 1. Continued

	<i>BRCA1</i>	<i>BRCA2</i>	Total (%)
16	2	5	7
17	3	2	5
18	2	6	8
19	1	2	4
20	—	4	4
21	—	2	2
22	—	—	—
23	—	—	—
24	—	—	—
25	—	1	1
26–33	—	—	—
34	—	1	1
35	—	—	—
36	—	1	1
37–56	—	—	—
57	—	1	1
	172 (100)	312 (100)	484 (100)

variant was *BRCA1* c.2599C>T (Q867X) in an individual in Fujian, China dated 307 yr ago (Yang et al, 2020); the oldest matched *BRCA2* PLP variant was *BRCA2* c.9573G>A (p.W3191X) in an individual in Bor District, Serbia dated about 7,874 yr ago (Mathieson et al, 2018), the youngest matched *BRCA2* PLP variant was *BRCA2* c.8009C>T (p.S2670L) in an individual in Shefa, Vanuatu dated 350 yr ago (Lipson et al, 2020). Of the 24 matched *BRCA1* PLP variants, 14 (58.3%) were stop-gain and six (25%) were located at the BRCT domain; of the 22 matched *BRCA2* PLP variants, 15 (68.2%) were stop-gain and three were located at the nucleic acid binding domain. Four of the 24 *BRCA1* PLP variants were detected in two individuals.

Dated *BRCA* founder PLP and ethnic-specific distribution of *BRCA* PLP

Many *BRCA* PLP variants are determined as *BRCA* founder PLP variants in different human ethnic populations, and their arisen times were determined by haplotyping analysis. For example, the arisen times for the three *BRCA* PLP founder variants (*BRCA1* c.68_69del, *BRCA1* c.5266dup, and *BRCA2* c.5946del) in Ashkenazi Jews population were determined as 1,720, 1,800, and 580 yr ago, respectively (Table 3). We identified 34 *BRCA* founder PLP variants including 22 in *BRCA1* and 12 in *BRCA2*. Their arisen times were from 3,225 to 140 yr ago (Table 3). For example, *BRCA1* c.3228_3229del (p.G1077fs) was the oldest arisen 3,225 yr ago in Tuscany, Italy, *BRCA1* c.1175_1214del (p.L345fs) was the youngest arisen 180 yr ago; *BRCA2* c.9026_9030del (p.T3009fs) was the oldest arisen 2,760 yr ago in Spanish, *BRCA2* c.9118-2A>G was the youngest arisen 220–144 yr ago in Finnish.

We also traced the ethnic origins for the *BRCA* PLP variants used in the study. Of the 1,054 *BRCA* PLP variants with available ethnic information, 548 (52%) were originated from single ethnic population, 327 (31%) were shared only between two ethnic populations. The

rates were consistent in both *BRCA1* and *BRCA2* PLP variants (Table S5A–C).

Discussion

Our study analyzed the evolutionary origin of *BRCA* PLP in modern human population. Our phylogenetic analysis across 100 vertebrates found no direct evidence for cross-species evolution conservation as the source for human *BRCA* PLP. Our archeological analysis in 2,792 ancient human individuals dated back to 45,000 yr ago identified 46 *BRCA* PLP variants of which 45 were arisen within the last 10,000 yr. Our analysis in the haplotyping-dated human *BRCA* founder PLP variants observed that nearly all were arisen within the last 3,000 yr. We further traced the ethnic origins of the *BRCA* PLP variants used in the study and observed that the majority were present in single or few ethnic populations. Based on these observations, we propose that human *BRCA* PLP was most likely arisen in recent human history, possibly within a few thousand years, after the latest human out-of-Africa migration and settlement at different global destinations. We consider that the positive selection on human *BRCA* could play a major role, and the population expansion could further increase the spectrum of human *BRCA* PLP in modern human population (Fig 4).

The prevalence of *BRCA* PLP is between 0.2% and 0.5% in modern human population. For example, the prevalence is 0.26% in Japanese population (Momozawa et al, 2018), 0.29% in Macau population (Qin et al, 2021), 0.38% in Chinese population (Dong et al, 2021), 0.38% in Mexican population (Fernandez-Lopez et al, 2019), 0.39% in Malaysian population (Wen et al, 2018), 0.53% in Taiwanese population (Chian et al, 2021), and 0.53% in Caucasian populations

Figure 1. Distribution of human *BRCA1* PLP variants in 100 vertebrates.

Red cell: same as the human PLPs; empty cell: same base as human wild type; blue cell: different from human wild type and PLPs; gray cell: gaps; "-": no aligned base; "=": gap with at least one un-aligned base. The figure was generated using GraphPad Prism (version 9.0.0 for Windows, GraphPad Software). 185delAG, 5382insC: the *BRCA1* founder pathogenic variants in Ashkenazi Jews population.

(Kurian et al, 2019). That implies that one in several hundreds of human individuals carries a *BRCA* PLP variant. The prevalence of *BRCA* PLP can be the highest in disease-causing genetic predisposition genes in human. It is interesting to understand why *BRCA* PLP can reach such high level in human population regardless their deleterious impact. Possible explanations can be (1). The cancer caused by *BRCA* PLP occurs mostly at later reproduction stage. Before reaching the stage, the PLP has already been transmitted to the next generation; (2). The loss of tumor suppressing function of *BRCA* due to *BRCA* PLP could be developmental stage-dependent. It states that the PLP could be beneficial at the reproductive stage but deleterious at later reproduction stage. Positive selection imposed on the reproductive stage can select the *BRCA* PLP not deleterious at the stage. However, our current study does not determine whether one or both of the explanations could contribute to the higher prevalence of *BRCA* PLP in modern human population.

Extensive animal model studies, especially from mouse studies, have provided rich evidence showing the pathogenic consequences

by *BRCA* PLP in non-human species. *Brca1* knockout-mice showed abnormal post-implantation development and embryonic proliferation (Liu et al, 1996; Hakem et al, 1996), embryonic lethality (Ludwig et al, 1997), neuroepithelial abnormalities (Gowen et al, 1996), irradiation hypersensitivity and genetic instability (Shen et al, 1998), abnormal T cell development (Mak et al, 2000; Xu et al, 2001), and tumorigenesis (Xu et al, 1999; Brodie et al, 2001). Without the presence of other mutated genes such as the mutated TP53, however, the mutated *Brca1* alone is not sufficient to directly cause cancer (Xu et al, 2001). This can further explain that the higher prevalence of *BRCA* PLP in human may have less deleterious impact in the absence of synergetic effects from other genetic events.

Many human *BRCA* PLP variants were shared with species in Aves and Sarcopterygii clads. This could happen by chance rather than by cross-species conservation, as it is unlikely that the conservation would allow the presence of the gaps across such wide distances. Alternatively, it could also be related to species-specific pathogenicity of the same *BRCA* PLP variants that the *BRCA* PLPs in

Figure 2. Distribution of human *BRCA2* PLP variants in 100 vertebrates.

Ibid as in Fig 1. 6174delT: the *BRCA2* founder pathogenic variants in Ashkenazi Jews population.

humans may not be pathogenic in non-human species (Gao & Zhang, 2003). For example, Tasmanian devil shared 20 human *BRCA* PLP variants. Tasmanian has a high risk of developing facial cancer, which is related with the fusion of chromosome 1 and X (Hawkins et al, 2006; Murchison et al, 2010; Taylor et al, 2017), but no evidence to show that the cancer is related to the *BRCA* PLP variants shared with human. A study analyzed human deleterious mutations in multiple genes including *BRCA* shared with mouse (Gao & Zhang, 2003), and evaluated multiple theories to explain the biological correlation including the “funder effect,” “fixations of slightly deleterious mutations,” “relaxed selection on late-onset phenotypes,” and “compensatory changes.” The study considered that the “compensatory changes,” which stated that “compensatory mutations at other sites of the same or a different protein render the deleterious mutations neutral,” can be the best to explain the conservation of human deleterious mutation with the distant species of mouse. We consider that the compensation theory can also be used to explain the sharing of

human *BRCA* PLPs with the species in Aves and Sarcopterygii clads, although direct evidence is not available to validate the explanation.

Only limited numbers of *BRCA* PLP variants were identified in the ancient humans. This can be related to the limited data available from ancient humans. In addition, genomic sequences in many ancient samples had limited coverage due to the rarity and poor quality of ancient DNA. Furthermore, the size differences between ancient and modern human populations can also be a factor. It is estimated that the number of individuals in the latest out-of-Africa migration 65,000–50,000 yr ago was about 1,000–2,500 (Henn et al, 2012), the size of the human population in 1,800s was about 1 billion, and the size of modern human population is close to eight billion (<https://ourworldindata.org/world-population-growth>, <https://data.worldbank.org/indicator/SP.POP.TOTL>). All human *BRCA* PLP variants used in our current study were derived from the current human population. With the positive selection, continually increased human population size, and more powerful DNA

Table 2. BRCA PLP variants identified in ancient humans.

Age (BP)	Fossil site	Variation ^a		Type	dbSNP155	Domain	References ^b
		cDNA	Protein				
BRCA1							
37,470 ± 1,210	Voronezh Oblast, Russia	c.181T>G	p.C61G	missense	rs28897672	Zinc finger	38
9,871 ± 1,650	Bor District, Serbia	c.5095C>T	p.R1699W	missense	rs55770810	BRCT	20
7,796.5 ± 75.5	Shandong, China	c.2059C>T	p.Q687X	stopgain	rs273898674	—	35
4,971 ± 450	Catalonia, Spain	c.1A>G	p.D2_M18del	startloss	rs80357287	—	27
4,671 ± 50	Sistan and Baluchestan, Iran	c.4327C>T	p.R1443X	stopgain	rs41293455	—	24
4,121 ± 100	Senec, Slovakia	c.3607C>T	p.R1203X	stopgain	rs62625308	—	31
3,350 ± 200	Sardinia, Italy	c.1618G>T	p.E540X	stopgain	rs730881471	—	3
3,096 ± 20	Hope Town, Bahamas	c.5503C>T	p.R1835X	stopgain	rs41293465	BRCT	4
2,110 ± 30	Ballito Bay, South Africa	c.643C>T	p.Q215X	stopgain	rs886037979		37
2,110 ± 30	Ballito Bay, South Africa	c.949C>T	p.Q317X	stopgain	rs80357211		37
2,110 ± 30	Ballito Bay, South Africa	c.441+1G>A	—	splice site	rs397509172		37
2,031	Khovsgol, Mongolia	c.135-1G>A	—	splice site	rs80358158	—	2
1,980 ± 20	Ballito Bay, South Africa	c.3967C>T	p.Q1323X	stopgain	rs80357262		37
1,933	Rostov Oblast, Russia	c.5353C>T	p.Q1785X	nonsense	rs80356969	BRCT	2
1,695 ± 195	Chukotka, Russia	c.2761C>T	p.Q921X	nonsense	rs80357377	—	5
1,471 ± 50	Girona, Catalonia	c.4255G>T	p.E1419X	stopgain	rs80357309	—	27
1,221 ± 100	Monsenor Nouel, Dominica	c.2309C>A	p.S770X	stopgain	rs80357063	—	4
921 ± 500	Monsenor Nouel, Dominica	c.3607C>T	p.R1203X	stopgain	rs62625308	—	4
921 ± 500	Monsenor Nouel, Dominica	c.5096G>A	p.R1699Q	missense	rs41293459	BRCT	4
921 ± 500	Monsenor Nouel, Dominica	c.4327C>T	p.R1443X	stopgain	rs41293455	—	4
921 ± 500	Monsenor Nouel, Dominica	c.5216A>T	p.D1739V	missense	rs80357227	BRCT	4
921 ± 500	Monsenor Nouel, Dominica	c.5095C>T	p.R1699W	missense	rs55770810	BRCT	4
721 ± 100	San Pedro de Macors, Dominica	c.2389G>T	p.E797X	stopgain	rs62625306	—	4
721 ± 100	San Pedro de Macors, Dominica	c.5503C>T	p.R1835X	stopgain	rs41293465	BRCT	4
480 ± 30	Eland Cave, South Africa	c.5074G>A	p.D1692N	nonsynonymous	rs80187739	BRCT	37
480 ± 30	Eland Cave, South Africa	c.3403C>T	p.Q1135X	stopgain	rs80357136		37
419 ± 94	Guangxi, China	c.4573C>T	p.Q1525X	stopgain	rs886040237	SRD	34
307.5 ± 26.5	Fujian, China	c.2599C>T	p.Q867X	stopgain	rs886038001	—	35
BRCA2							
7,874 ± 72	Bor District, Serbia	c.9573G>A	p.W3191X	stopgain	rs398122617	NAB	27
7,030 ± 50	Castile and Leon, Spain	c.9466C>T	p.Q3156X	stopgain	rs276174925	NAB	27
4,950 ± 150	Baden, Germany	c.6952C>T	p.R2318X	stopgain	rs80358920	—	14
4,571 ± 350	Kladno, Central Bohemian Region	c.9382C>T	p.R3128X	stopgain	rs80359212	—	26
4,384 ± 200	Scotland, UK	c.3922G>T	p.E1308X	stopgain	rs80358638	—	26
4,250 ± 1,200	Vrancea County, Romania	c.7806-2A>G	—	splicing	rs81002836	—	9
3,858 ± 200	Budapest, Hungary	c.8695C>T	p.Q2899X	stopgain	rs397507411	—	26
3,546 ± 325	Atyrau region, Kazakhstan	c.244A>T	p.K82X	stopgain	rs397507628	—	24
2,921 ± 100	NWFP, Pakistan	c.1189C>T	p.Q397X	stopgain	rs760815829	—	24
2,921 ± 100	NWFP, Pakistan	c.3469G>T	p.E1157X	stopgain	rs80358595	—	24
2,821 ± 100	East Kazakhstan, Kazakhstan	c.1825C>T	p.Q609X	stopgain	rs80358472	—	33
2,821 ± 100	East Kazakhstan, Kazakhstan	c.2455C>T	p.Q819X	stopgain	rs397507629	—	33

(Continued on following page)

Table 2. Continued

Age (BP)	Fossil site	Variation ^a		Type	dbSNP155	Domain	References ^b
		cDNA	Protein				
2,330 ± 25	St. Helena, South Africa	c.2905C>T	p.Q969X	stopgain	rs886038080		36
2,321 ± 100	NWFP, Pakistan	c.5200G>T	p.E1734X	stopgain	rs786202543	—	24
2,131	Khovsgol, Mongolia	c.316+1G>A	—	splice site	rs397507303	—	2
2,083	Omnogovi, Mongolia	c.7617+1G>A	—	splice site	rs397507922	—	2
1,980 ± 20	Ballito Bay, South Africa	c.7480C>T	p.R2494X	stopgain	rs80358972		37
1,783	Issyk Kul, Kyrgyzstan	c.7007G>A	p.R2336H	missense	rs28897743	—	2
1,697	South Kazakhstan, Kazakhstan	c.7977-1G>A	—	splice site	rs81002874	—	2
1,100 ± 500	Santo Domingo, Dominica	c.171C>A	p.Y57X	stopgain	rs201523522	—	4
480 ± 30	Eland Cave, South Africa	c.475G>A	p.V159M	nonsynonymous	rs80358702		37
350 ± 100	Shefa, Vanuatu	c.8009C>T	p.S2670L	missense	rs80359035	NAB	18

^aBold refers to the variants detected in more than one individual.

^bReferences listed in Table S6

Figure 3. Geographic distribution of human BRCA PLPs in ancient humans.

Circle dots: matched BRCA1 PLPs; triangle dot: matched BRCA2 PLPs. The irregular dots: overlapped BRCA1 and BRCA2 PLPs. Colors in icons: time before present. The red circle dot was BRCA1 c.181T>G, dated 37,470 ± 1,210 yr ago (Table 2).

sequencing technologies under developing, it is expected that more new human BRCA PLP variants would arise and be identified.

Human BRCA1 has 1,863 residues and BRCA2 has 3,418 residues. Although gene with large size can have more chance to generate more genetic variants, it is unlikely that the size in BRCA is a major

factor for the large quantity of human BRCA PLP. For example, our study showed that mouse Brca does not change although the size of mouse Brca is very close to the human BRCA (Brca1 1,812 residues and Brca2 3,329 residues) (Wang & Wang, 2021). Another factor related with ethnic-specific PLPs can be that the same BRCA PLP

Table 3. BRCA founder PLP variants dated by haplotype analysis.

Age (BP)	Population	Mutation (HGVS)			References ^a
		cDNA	Protein	Variation type	
BRCA1					
3,225	Tuscany, Italy	3347delAG (c.3228_3229del)	p.G1077fs	frameshift	62
2,400–1,600	Iberia	c.3331_3334delCAAG	p.Q1064fs	frameshift	39
1,800	Ashkenazi Jewish	5832insC (c.5266dupC)	p.Q1756fs	frameshift	40
1,720	Northeastern Italy	c.676delT	p.C226fs	frameshift	41
1,500–750	Ashkenazi Jewish	185delAG (c.68_69del)	p.E23fs	frameshift	21
1,500	Swedish	3171ins5 (c.3052_3053ins5)	—	—	43
1,480	Columbia	3450delCAAG (c.3331_3334del)	p.Q1064fs	frameshift	44
1,440	Mexican	c.548-?-4185 + ?del	—	exon 9–12del	45
800	Moroccan	c.5309G>T	p.G1770V	missense	46
750	Tuscany, Italy	1499insA (c.1380dup)	p.F414fs	frameshift	47
720–460	Finnish	3744delT (c.3626del)	—	nonsense	48
600	Norwegians	1675delA (c.1556del)	p.K472fs	frameshift	49
600	Norwegians	1135insA (c.1135_1136insA)	p.K472fs	frameshift	49
500	Norwegians	816delGT (c.697_698del)	p.V186fs	frameshift	50
500	Norwegians	3347delAG (c.3228_3229del)	p.G1077fs	frameshift	50
500	South Africans	c.2641G>T	p.E881X	nonsense	51
380	Spanish	c.5153-1G>A	—	splice site	52
275	Greek	G1738R (c.5212G>A)	p.G1738R	missense	53
200	Dutch	2804delAA (c.2685_2686del)	p.P850fs	frameshift	54
200	Afro-Americans	943ins10 (c.824_825ins10)	—	—	55
<200	Finnish	4216-2A>G (c.4097-2A>G)	—	splice site	56
180	Unknown	1294del40 (c.1175_1214del)	p.L345fs	frameshift	48
BRCA2					
2,760	Spanish	9254del5 (c.9026_9030del)	p.T3009fs	frameshift	57
2,600–2,400	Portugal	156-157insAlu (c.1205T>C)	p.L402P	missense	58
1,904	Spanish	5344delAATA (c.5116_5119del)	p.N1706fs	frameshift	59
1,600	US and Canda	c.3036_3039del	p.S1013fs	frameshift	60
1,365	Spanish	9538delAA (c.9310_9311delAA)	p.L3104fs	frameshift	59
1,200	Spanish	5374delTATG (c.5146_5149del)	p.T1716fs	frameshift	52
580	Ashkenazi Jewish	6174delT (c.5946del)	p.S1982fs	frameshift	60
500	Icelaner	995del5 (c.771_775del)	p.A257fs	frameshift	61
400–200	Finnish	7708C>T (c.7480C>T)	p.R2494X	nonsense	58
360	US and France	982del4 (c.755_758del)	p.D252fs	frameshift	60
220–140	Finnish	8555T>G (c.8327T>G)	p.L2776X	nonsense	56
220–140	Finnish	c.9118-2A>G	—	splice site	56

^areferences are listed in Table S6.

could arise by chance in different ethnic populations at different times. For example, the *BRCA1* 68-69del founder variant in Ashkenazi Jews is also present in other ethnic populations although at very low frequency, and *BRCA1* 3607C>T shared between the Slovakia case dated 4,121 ± 100 ago and Dominica case dated 921 ± 500

ago (Table 2). However, this unlikely played a major role in contributing to human *BRCA* PLP. Pleiotropy effects may also exist that variation in non-*BRCA* genes may contribute to the selection of *BRCA* PLPs, particularly for these selected in the post-reproductive age (Williams, 1957). This is particularly interesting as *BRCA* PLP

Figure 4. Model for the origin of human *BRCA* PLP. It shows that the positive selection on human *BRCA* causes high human *BRCA* variation and accompanied PLP variation, and the expansion of human population further increases the spectrum of human *BRCA* PLP. X-axis: the timing of modern human after out-of-Africa migration; Left y-axis: size of human population; Right y-axis: number of human *BRCA* PLP variants. Curve: the growth of human population in the past 50,000 yr.

mainly cause high cancer risk after reproductive age. Homozygotic *BRCA* PLP carriers seldom survive or develop Fanconi anemia due to the embryonic lethal effect, as *BRCA* is essential for development (Seo et al, 2018).

A limitation of our study is that most of the *BRCA* founder PLP variants and ethnic distribution data used in the study were derived from European and descendants. More data from non-European populations should enhance the significance of our study.

Materials and Methods

Source of human *BRCA* PLPs

Human *BRCA* PLP variants were from ClinVar database (https://ftp.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pub/clinvar/vcf_GRCh38/weekly/clinvar_20201031.vcf.gz, accessed 1 November 2020). Only the variants classified as “pathogenic,” “likely pathogenic,” and “pathogenic and likely pathogenic” were included in the study. The variants with conflict classifications were excluded to ensure the reliability of the analysis.

Vertebrate genomic mapping analysis

Human *BRCA* PLP variants were divided into single nucleotide variants and indel groups. Their genomic positions were annotated by referring to the following reference sequences: *BRCA1*: genome hg38 NC_000017.11, cDNA NM_007294, NP_009225; *BRCA2*: genome hg38 NC_000013.11, cDNA NM_000059, NP_000050. *BRCA1*, and *BRCA2* sequences across 100 vertebrate species were downloaded from UCSC Genome Browser (<https://genome.ucsc.edu/cgi-bin/hgGateway?redirect=manual&source=genome.ucsc.edu>). Sequence alignment followed the procedures in Multiz Alignments of 100 Vertebrates part in UCSC genome browser (<https://genome.ucsc.edu/>

[cgi-bin/hgc?db=hg38&c=chr17&l=43106526&r=43106527&o=43106526&t=43106527&g=multiz100way&i=multiz100way](https://genome.ucsc.edu/cgi-bin/hgc?db=hg38&c=chr17&l=43106526&r=43106527&o=43106526&t=43106527&g=multiz100way&i=multiz100way)). Tree model of the 100 vertebrate species was generated using the phyloFit program in the PHAST package (Murphy et al, 2001). The phylogenetic tree for the 100 vertebrate species was from UCSC resource (<http://hgdownload.soe.ucsc.edu/goldenPath/hg38/multiz100way/>), and the distance between species on the tree was adjusted to ensure the readability in the figures. PhastCons and phyloP from the PHAST package were used for evolution conservation measurement. Sequence alignment between repeat-masked human hg38 and non-human genome sequences was made by using Lastz (BLAST2) and multiz (Blanchette et al, 2004; Hubisz et al, 2011; Armstrong et al, 2019; Ramani et al, 2019). Based on the phylogenetic distance from the references, the scoring matrix and parameters for pairwise were adjusted for each species. The high-score chains were placed along genome sequences, and the low-score chains were used to fill gaps. The results of single base-level alignment were collected from the “Multiz Alignments of 100 Vertebrates” section in UCSC genome browser by entering variant position in hg38 using a Python-based tool (<https://github.com/Skyllette14/GetBase>). For indel alignments, the base number of insertion or deletion between human and other species was the same. Each indel alignment across the matched species were manually checked to ensure reliability of the alignment. The positions corresponding to human PLP variants in the aligned non-human sequences were obtained by using GetBase.

Ancient human genomic mapping analysis

Ancient human DNA sequences and related publications were from “Allen Ancient DNA Resource (version 42.2, <https://reich.hms.harvard.edu/allen-ancient-dna-resource-aadr-downloadable-genotypes-present-day-and-ancient-dna-data>, accessed 1 March 2020), containing genomic sequences from a total of 2,792 ancient human individuals dated from 37,470 to 300 before present.

Bam files of ancient genomic sequences were downloaded. The sequences containing *BRCA1* (chr17:41,196,312–41,277,500, hg19 by Ensembl) and *BRCA2* (chr13:32,889,611–32,973,805, hg19 by Ensembl) were identified and used for mapping to hg19. Mpileup command in SAMtools was used for variant calling from the mapped sequences with the minimal base quality set as 1 (Li et al, 2009). After generation of ancient human vcf files, the called variants were annotated using WANNVAR (<https://wannovar.wglab.org/>) (Chang & Wang, 2012), compared with human *BRCA* PLP variants and manually checked in ClinVar to obtain the related information for these matched by the ancient *BRCA* sequences. The locations for the ancient PLP carrier's fossil excavation and the estimated age were based on the original publications. The geographical distribution map of the ancient *BRCA* PLP variants were generated by using Matlab (The MathWorks, Inc.).

Statistical analysis

Kruskal–Wallis test was used for statistical comparison for the *BRCA* PLP variants shared between clades (GraphPad Software). Chi-Squared test was used to compare the distribution of the shared *BRCA* PLP variants, $P < 0.01$ was considered as statistical significance.

Data Availability

All data used in the study were from public domains as indicated in the text. The data generated from the study were provided as online Figs S1–S3 and Tables S1–S6.

Supplementary Information

Supplementary Information is available at <https://doi.org/10.26508/lsa.202101263>.

Acknowledgements

We thank Information and Communication Technology Office (ICTO), University of Macau for providing the High-Performance Computing Cluster resources for the study. This work was supported by the grants from Macau Science and Technology Development Fund (085/2017/A2, 0077/2019/AMJ), the grants from the University of Macau (SRG2017-00097-FHS, MYRG2019-00018-FHS), the Faculty of Health Sciences, University of Macau (Startup fund, FHSIG/SW/0007/2020P, FHS Innovation grant) (SM Wang).

Author Contributions

J Li: data curation, software, formal analysis, visualization, methodology, and writing—original draft, review, and editing.
B Zhao: data curation, software, methodology, and writing—original draft, review, and editing.
T Huang: software and writing—original draft, review, and editing.
Z Qin: data curation, software, and writing—original draft, review, and editing.

SM Wang: conceptualization, resources, funding acquisition, project administration, and writing—original draft, review, and editing.

Conflict of Interest Statement

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

References

- Abkevich V, Zharkikh A, Deffenbaugh AM, Frank D, Chen Y, Shattuck D, Skolnick MH, Gutin A, Tavtigian SV (2004) Analysis of missense variation in human *BRCA1* in the context of interspecific sequence variation. *J Med Genet* 41: 492–507. doi:10.1136/jmg.2003.015867
- Anderson BO, Yip CH, Smith RA, Shyyan R, Sener SF, Eniu A, Carlson RW, Azavedo E, Harford J (2008) Guideline implementation for breast healthcare in low-income and middle-income countries: Overview of the breast Health global initiative global summit 2007. *Cancer* 113: 2221–2243. doi:10.1002/cncr.23844
- Armstrong J, Fiddes IT, Diekhans M, Paten B (2019) Whole-genome alignment and comparative annotation. *Annu Rev Anim Biosci* 7: 41–64. doi:10.1146/annurev-animal-020518-115005
- Bhaskaran SP, Chandratre K, Gupta H, Zhang L, Wang X, Cui J, Kim YC, Sinha S, Jiang L, Lu B, et al (2019) Germline variation in *BRCA1/2* is highly ethnic-specific: Evidence from over 30,000 Chinese hereditary breast and ovarian cancer patients. *Int J Cancer* 145: 962–973. doi:10.1002/ijc.32176
- Blanchette M, Kent WJ, Riemer C, Elnitski L, Smit AF, Roskin KM, Baertsch R, Rosenbloom K, Clawson H, Green ED, et al (2004) Aligning multiple genomic sequences with the threaded blockset aligner. *Genome Res* 14: 708–715. doi:10.1101/gr.1933104
- Brodie SG, Xu X, Qiao W, Li WM, Cao L, Deng CX (2001) Multiple genetic changes are associated with mammary tumorigenesis in *Brca1* conditional knockout mice. *Oncogene* 20: 7514–7523. doi:10.1038/sj.onc.1204929
- Burk-Herrick A, Scally M, Amrine-Madsen H, Stanhope MJ, Springer MS (2006) Natural selection and mammalian *BRCA1* sequences: Elucidating functionally important sites relevant to breast cancer susceptibility in humans. *Mamm Genome* 17: 257–270. doi:10.1007/s00335-005-0067-2
- Chang X, Wang K (2012) WANNVAR: Annotating genetic variants for personal genomes via the web. *J Med Genet* 49: 433–436. doi:10.1136/jmedgenet-2012-100918
- Chen Q, Lei JH, Bao J, Wang H, Hao W, Li L, Peng C, Masuda T, Miao K, Xu J, et al (2020) *BRCA1* deficiency impairs mitophagy and promotes inflammasome activation and mammary tumor metastasis. *Adv Sci (Weinh)* 7: 1903616. doi:10.1002/adv.201903616
- Chian J, Sinha S, Qin Z, Wang SM (2021) *BRCA1* and *BRCA2* variation in Taiwanese general population and the cancer cohort. *Front Mol Biosci* 8: 685174. doi:10.3389/fmolb.2021.685174
- Cline MS, Liao RG, Parsons MT, Paten B, Alquaddoomi F, Antoniou A, Baxter S, Brody L, Cook-Deegan R, Coffin A, et al (2018) *BRCA* challenge: *BRCA* exchange as a global resource for variants in *BRCA1* and *BRCA2*. *PLoS Genet* 14: e1007752. doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1007752
- Dong H, Chandratre K, Qin Y, Zhang J, Tian X, Rong C, Wang N, Guo M, Zhao G, Wang SM (2021) Prevalence of *BRCA1/BRCA2* pathogenic variation in Chinese Han population. *J Med Genet* 58: 565–569. doi:10.1136/jmedgenet-2020-106970
- Fernández-Lopez JC, Romero-Córdoba S, Rebollar-Vega R, Alfaro-Ruiz LA, Jiménez-Morales S, Beltrán-Anaya F, Arellano-Llamas R, Cedro-Tanda A, Rios-Romero M, Ramírez-Florencio M, et al (2019) Population and breast cancer patients' analysis reveals the diversity of genomic variation of the *BRCA* genes in the Mexican population. *Hum Genomics* 13: 3. doi:10.1186/s40246-018-0188-9

- Fleming MA, Potter JD, Ramirez CJ, Ostrander GK, Ostrander EA (2003) Understanding missense mutations in the BRCA1 gene: An evolutionary approach. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 100: 1151–1156. doi:[10.1073/pnas.0237285100](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0237285100)
- Gao L, Zhang J (2003) Why are some human disease-associated mutations fixed in mice? *Trends Genet* 19: 678–681. doi:[10.1016/j.tig.2003.10.002](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tig.2003.10.002)
- George A, Kaye S, Banerjee S (2017) Delivering widespread BRCA testing and PARP inhibition to patients with ovarian cancer. *Nat Rev Clin Oncol* 14: 284–296. doi:[10.1038/nrclinonc.2016.191](https://doi.org/10.1038/nrclinonc.2016.191)
- Gowen LC, Johnson BL, Latour AM, Sulik KK, Koller BH (1996) Brca1 deficiency results in early embryonic lethality characterized by neuroepithelial abnormalities. *Nat Genet* 12: 191–194. doi:[10.1038/ng0296-191](https://doi.org/10.1038/ng0296-191)
- Green RE, Krause J, Briggs AW, Maricic T, Stenzel U, Kircher M, Patterson N, Li H, Zhai W, Fritz MH, et al (2010) A draft sequence of the Neandertal genome. *Science* 328: 710–722. doi:[10.1126/science.1188021](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1188021)
- Hakem R, de la Pompa JL, Sirard C, Mo R, Woo M, Hakem A, Wakeham A, Potter J, Reitmaier A, Billia F, et al (1996) The tumor suppressor gene Brca1 is required for embryonic cellular proliferation in the mouse. *Cell* 85: 1009–1023. doi:[10.1016/S0092-8674\(00\)81302-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0092-8674(00)81302-1)
- Hawkins CE, Baars C, Hesterman H, Hocking GJ, Jones ME, Lazenby B, Mann D, Mooney N, Pemberton D, Pyecroft S, et al (2006) Emerging disease and population decline of an island endemic, the Tasmanian devil *Sarcophilus harrisii*. *Biol Conservation* 131: 307–324. doi:[10.1016/j.biocon.2006.04.010](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2006.04.010)
- Henn BM, Cavalli-Sforza LL, Feldman MW (2012) The great human expansion. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 109: 17758–17764. doi:[10.1073/pnas.1212380109](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1212380109)
- Hubisz MJ, Pollard KS, Siepel A (2011) PHAST and RPHAST: Phylogenetic analysis with space/time models. *Brief Bioinform* 12: 41–51. doi:[10.1093/bib/bbq072](https://doi.org/10.1093/bib/bbq072)
- Huen MS, Sy SM, Chen J (2010) BRCA1 and its toolbox for the maintenance of genome integrity. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol* 11: 138–148. doi:[10.1038/nrm2831](https://doi.org/10.1038/nrm2831)
- Huttley GA, Eastal S, Southey MC, Tesoriero A, Giles GG, McCredie MR, Hopper JL, Venter DJ (2000) Adaptive evolution of the tumour suppressor BRCA1 in humans and chimpanzees. Australian breast cancer family study. *Nat Genet* 25: 410–413. doi:[10.1038/78092](https://doi.org/10.1038/78092)
- Jasin M, Rothstein R (2013) Repair of strand breaks by homologous recombination. *Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol* 5: a012740. doi:[10.1101/cshperspect.a012740](https://doi.org/10.1101/cshperspect.a012740)
- Jeggo PA, Pearl LH, Carr AM (2016) DNA repair, genome stability and cancer: A historical perspective. *Nat Rev Cancer* 16: 35–42. doi:[10.1038/nrc.2015.4](https://doi.org/10.1038/nrc.2015.4)
- Kojic M, Kostrub CF, Buchman AR, Holloman WK (2002) BRCA2 homolog required for proficiency in DNA repair, recombination, and genome stability in *Ustilago maydis*. *Mol Cell* 10: 683–691. doi:[10.1016/S1097-2765\(02\)00632-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1097-2765(02)00632-9)
- Kurian AW, Ward KC, Howlander N, Deapen D, Hamilton AS, Mariotto A, Miller D, Penberthy LS, Katz SJ (2019) Genetic testing and results in a population-based cohort of breast cancer patients and ovarian cancer patients. *J Clin Oncol* 37: 1305–1315. doi:[10.1200/JCO.18.01854](https://doi.org/10.1200/JCO.18.01854)
- Levy-Lahad E, Catane R, Eisenberg S, Kaufman B, Hornreich G, Lishinsky E, Shohat M, Weber BL, Beller U, Lahad A, et al (1997) Founder BRCA1 and BRCA2 mutations in Ashkenazi Jews in Israel: Frequency and differential penetrance in ovarian cancer and in breast-ovarian cancer families. *Am J Hum Genet* 60: 1059–1067.
- Li H, Handsaker B, Wysoker A, Fennell T, Ruan J, Homer N, Marth G, Abecasis G, Durbin R (2009) The sequence alignment/map format and SAMtools. *Bioinformatics* 25: 2078–2079. doi:[10.1093/bioinformatics/btp352](https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btp352)
- Lipson M, Spriggs M, Valentin F, Bedford S, Shing R, Zinger W, Buckley H, Petchey F, Matanik R, Cheronet O, et al (2020) Three phases of ancient migration shaped the ancestry of human populations in Vanuatu. *Curr Biol* 30: 4846–4856.e6. doi:[10.1016/j.cub.2020.09.035](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2020.09.035)
- Liu CY, Flesken-Nikitin A, Li S, Zeng Y, Lee WH (1996) Inactivation of the mouse Brca1 gene leads to failure in the morphogenesis of the egg cylinder in early postimplantation development. *Genes Dev* 10: 1835–1843. doi:[10.1101/gad.10.14.1835](https://doi.org/10.1101/gad.10.14.1835)
- Lou DI, McBee RM, Le UQ, Stone AC, Wilkerson GK, Demogines AM, Sawyer SL (2014) Rapid evolution of BRCA1 and BRCA2 in humans and other primates. *BMC Evol Biol* 14: 155. doi:[10.1186/1471-2148-14-155](https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2148-14-155)
- Ludwig T, Chapman DL, Papaioannou VE, Efstratiadis A (1997) Targeted mutations of breast cancer susceptibility gene homologs in mice: Lethal phenotypes of Brca1, Brca2, Brca1/Brca2, Brca1/p53, and Brca2/p53 nullizygous embryos. *Genes Dev* 11: 1226–1241. doi:[10.1101/gad.11.10.1226](https://doi.org/10.1101/gad.11.10.1226)
- Mafessoni F, Grote S, de Filippo C, Slon V, Kolobova KA, Viola B, Markin SV, Chintalapati M, Peyrègne S, Skov L, et al (2020) A high-coverage neandertal genome from chagyrskaya cave. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 117: 15132–15136. doi:[10.1073/pnas.2004944117](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2004944117)
- Mak TW, Hakem A, McPherson JP, Shehabeldin A, Zablocki E, Migon E, Duncan GS, Bouchard D, Wakeham A, Cheung A, et al (2000) Brca1 required for T cell lineage development but not TCR loci rearrangement. *Nat Immunol* 1: 77–82. doi:[10.1038/76950](https://doi.org/10.1038/76950)
- Mathieson I, Alpaslan-Roodenberg S, Posth C, Szécsényi-Nagy A, Rohland N, Mallick S, Olalde I, Broomandkhoshbacht N, Candilio F, Cheronet O, et al (2018) The genomic history of southeastern Europe. *Nature* 555: 197–203. doi:[10.1038/nature25778](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature25778)
- Meyer M, Kircher M, Gansauge MT, Li H, Racimo F, Mallick S, Schraiber JG, Jay F, Prüfer K, de Filippo C, et al (2012) A high-coverage genome sequence from an archaic Denisovan individual. *Science* 338: 222–226. doi:[10.1126/science.1224344](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1224344)
- Momozawa Y, Iwasaki Y, Parsons MT, Kamatani Y, Takahashi A, Tamura C, Katagiri T, Yoshida T, Nakamura S, Sugano K, et al (2018) Germline pathogenic variants of 11 breast cancer genes in 7,051 Japanese patients and 11,241 controls. *Nat Commun* 9: 4083. doi:[10.1038/s41467-018-06581-8](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-018-06581-8)
- Murchison EP, Tovar C, Hsu A, Bender HS, Kheradpour P, Rebbeck CA, Obendorf D, Conlan C, Bahlo M, Blizard CA, et al (2010) The Tasmanian devil transcriptome reveals Schwann cell origins of a clonally transmissible cancer. *Science* 327: 84–87. doi:[10.1126/science.1180616](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1180616)
- Murphy WJ, Eizirik E, O'Brien SJ, Madsen O, Scally M, Douady CJ, Teeling E, Ryder OA, Stanhope MJ, de Jong WW, et al (2001) Resolution of the early placental mammal radiation using Bayesian phylogenetics. *Science* 294: 2348–2351. doi:[10.1126/science.1067179](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1067179)
- Narod SA, Foulkes WD (2004) BRCA1 and BRCA2: 1994 and beyond. *Nat Rev Cancer* 4: 665–676. doi:[10.1038/nrc1431](https://doi.org/10.1038/nrc1431)
- O'Connell MJ (2010) Selection and the cell cycle: Positive darwinian selection in a well-known DNA damage response pathway. *J Mol Evol* 71: 444–457. doi:[10.1007/s00239-010-9399-y](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00239-010-9399-y)
- Pao GM, Zhu Q, Perez-Garcia CG, Chou SJ, Suh H, Gage FH, O'Leary DD, Verma IM (2014) Role of BRCA1 in brain development. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 111: E1240–E1248. doi:[10.1073/pnas.1400783111](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1400783111)
- Pavlicek A, Noskov VN, Kouprina N, Barrett JC, Jurka J, Larionov V (2004) Evolution of the tumor suppressor BRCA1 locus in primates: Implications for cancer predisposition. *Hum Mol Genet* 13: 2737–2751. doi:[10.1093/hmg/ddh301](https://doi.org/10.1093/hmg/ddh301)
- Pfeffer CM, Ho BN, Singh ATK (2017) The evolution, functions and applications of the breast cancer genes BRCA1 and BRCA2. *Cancer Genomics Proteomics* 14: 293–298. doi:[10.21873/cgp.20040](https://doi.org/10.21873/cgp.20040)
- Plon SE, Eccles DM, Easton D, Foulkes WD, Genuardi M, Greenblatt MS, Hogervorst FB, Hoogerbrugge N, Spurdle AB, Tavtigian SV, et al (2008) Sequence variant classification and reporting: Recommendations for improving the interpretation of cancer susceptibility genetic test results. *Hum Mutat* 29: 1282–1291. doi:[10.1002/humu.20880](https://doi.org/10.1002/humu.20880)
- Prüfer K, de Filippo C, Grote S, Mafessoni F, Korlević P, Hajdinjak M, Vernot B, Skov L, Hsieh P, Peyrègne S, et al (2017) A high-coverage neandertal

- genome from vindija cave in Croatia. *Science* 358: 655–658. doi:[10.1126/science.aao1887](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aao1887)
- Prüfer K, Racimo F, Patterson N, Jay F, Sankararaman S, Sawyer S, Heinze A, Renaud G, Sudmant PH, de Filippo C, et al (2014) The complete genome sequence of a Neanderthal from the Altai Mountains. *Nature* 505: 43–49. doi:[10.1038/nature12886](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature12886)
- Qin Z, Kuok CN, Dong H, Jiang L, Zhang L, Guo M, Leong HK, Wang L, Meng G, Wang SM (2021) Can population BRCA screening be applied in non-ashkenazi Jewish populations? Experience in Macau population. *J Med Genet* 58: 587–591. doi:[10.1136/jmedgenet-2020-107181](https://doi.org/10.1136/jmedgenet-2020-107181)
- Ramani R, Krumholz K, Huang YF, Siepel A (2019) PhastWeb: A web interface for evolutionary conservation scoring of multiple sequence alignments using phastCons and phyloP. *Bioinformatics* 35: 2320–2322. doi:[10.1093/bioinformatics/bty966](https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/bty966)
- Rosen EM, Fan S, Ma Y (2006) BRCA1 regulation of transcription. *Cancer Lett* 236: 175–185. doi:[10.1016/j.canlet.2005.04.037](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.canlet.2005.04.037)
- Seguin-Orlando A, Korneliusson TS, Sikora M, Malaspina AS, Manica A, Moltke I, Albrechtsen A, Ko A, Margaryan A, Moiseyev V, et al (2014) Paleogenomics. Genomic structure in Europeans dating back at least 36,200 years. *Science* 346: 1113–1118. doi:[10.1126/science.aaa0114](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aaa0114)
- Seo A, Steinberg-Shemer O, Unal S, Casadei S, Walsh T, Gumruk F, Shalev S, Shimamura A, Akarsu NA, Tamary H, et al (2018) Mechanism for survival of homozygous nonsense mutations in the tumor suppressor gene BRCA1. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 115: 5241–5246. doi:[10.1073/pnas.1801796115](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1801796115)
- Shen SX, Weaver Z, Xu X, Li C, Weinstein M, Chen L, Guan XY, Ried T, Deng CX (1998) A targeted disruption of the murine Brca1 gene causes gamma-irradiation hypersensitivity and genetic instability. *Oncogene* 17: 3115–3124. doi:[10.1038/sj.onc.1202243](https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.onc.1202243)
- Smith KR, Hanson HA, Hollingshaus MS (2013) BRCA1 and BRCA2 mutations and female fertility. *Curr Opin Obstet Gynecol* 25: 207–213. doi:[10.1097/GCO.0b013e32835f1731](https://doi.org/10.1097/GCO.0b013e32835f1731)
- Taylor RL, Zhang Y, Schöning JP, Deakin JE (2017) Identification of candidate genes for devil facial tumour disease tumorigenesis. *Sci Rep* 7: 8761. doi:[10.1038/s41598-017-08908-9](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-08908-9)
- Wang X, Wang SM (2021) DNA damage repair system in C57BL/6 J mice is evolutionarily stable. *BMC Genomics* 22: 669. doi:[10.1186/s12864-021-07983-7](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12864-021-07983-7)
- Welsh PL, King MC (2001) BRCA1 and BRCA2 and the genetics of breast and ovarian cancer. *Hum Mol Genet* 10: 705–713. doi:[10.1093/hmg/10.7.705](https://doi.org/10.1093/hmg/10.7.705)
- Wen WX, Allen J, Lai KN, Mariapun S, Hasan SN, Ng PS, Lee DS, Lee SY, Yoon SY, Lim J, et al (2018) Inherited mutations in BRCA1 and BRCA2 in an unselected multiethnic cohort of Asian patients with breast cancer and healthy controls from Malaysia. *J Med Genet* 55: 97–103. doi:[10.1136/jmedgenet-2017-104947](https://doi.org/10.1136/jmedgenet-2017-104947)
- Williams GC (1957) PLEIOTROPY, natural selection, and the evolution of senescence. *Evolution* 11: 398–411. doi:[10.1111/j.1558-5646.1957.tb02911.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1558-5646.1957.tb02911.x)
- Xu X, Qiao W, Linke SP, Cao L, Li WM, Furth PA, Harris CC, Deng CX (2001) Genetic interactions between tumor suppressors Brca1 and p53 in apoptosis, cell cycle and tumorigenesis. *Nat Genet* 28: 266–271. doi:[10.1038/90108](https://doi.org/10.1038/90108)
- Xu X, Wagner KU, Larson D, Weaver Z, Li C, Ried T, Hennighausen L, Wynshaw-Boris A, Deng CX (1999) Conditional mutation of Brca1 in mammary epithelial cells results in blunted ductal morphogenesis and tumour formation. *Nat Genet* 22: 37–43. doi:[10.1038/8743](https://doi.org/10.1038/8743)
- Yang MA, Fan X, Sun B, Chen C, Lang J, Ko YC, Tsang CH, Chiu H, Wang T, Bao Q, et al (2020) Ancient DNA indicates human population shifts and admixture in northern and southern China. *Science* 369: 282–288. doi:[10.1126/science.aba0909](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aba0909)

License: This article is available under a Creative Commons License (Attribution 4.0 International, as described at <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).